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## BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

In 2013, the Investment Agriculture Foundation of British Columbia engaged J. S. Cheng \& Partners Inc. ("JSCP") to conduct a feasibility study to establish a captive insurance company ("Captive") to provide avian influenza ("Al") insurance for the British Columbia poultry industry. Our report covered three components:

1. A market loss [gap between what the Canadian Food Inspection Agency ("CFIA") would pay and the calculated market value];
2. A cleaning and disinfection (fixed dollar per bird) cost; and
3. A limited business interruption.

Since the issuance of the report on April 1, 2014, British Columbia ("BC") legislation has granted marketing boards the authority to require producers to hold insurance for disease losses. As part of the process to secure this authority, the marketing boards must establish a sound policy rationale for the mandatory insurance requirement. A robust review narrowed down the loss that could be the basis of compulsory insurance to the cleaning and disinfection ("C\&D") cost of infected premises. The marketing board has agreed that it is in the interest of the BC poultry industry for all producers to share in those C\&D costs to ensure a prompt return to a system of orderly marketing; this would lead to pooling of the funds as well as maintaining and replenishing a fund.

JSCP has been retained by the BC Poultry Association to prepare a feasibility study for a Captive to provide C\&D coverage to all BC poultry producers.

## DISTRIBUTION AND USE

This report has been prepared for the British Columbia Poultry Association ("BCPA"). The sole purpose of this report is to determine the feasibility of using a captive insurance company to insure C\&D cost arising from an Avian Influenza outbreak for the members of BCPA.

This report in its entirety may be distributed to BCPA.

This report is neither intended nor necessarily suitable for any other use. Distribution beyond the above list is permitted provided that it is authorized by BCPA and the recipient is made aware that he/she is a third party and the author will be available for further questions on this report.

Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. JSCP accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions or actions made based on this report. For clarity, all parties except BCPA are third parties to JSCP.

## SCOPE OF THE REPORT

BCPA is considering using a Captive to insure the financial risk of C\&D. The scope of this report is to:

1. Assess the risk and premium of the Captive.
2. Estimate the annual (C\&D) frequency and average claim size of the Captive.
3. Estimate the annual claims amount and cost of reinsurance of the Captive in 2018, 2019 and 2020.
4. Estimate the funding requirement of the Captive for 2018-2020.
5. Allocate the annual funding into four sectors: broiler, breeder, layer and turkey.
6. Prepare pro-forma financial statements of the Captive from 2018 to 2020.
7. Recommend a loss limit per occurrence and an annual aggregate loss limit for the Captive.
8. Estimate the cost of stop-loss and excess-of-loss reinsurance for the Captive.
9. Stress test the ability of the Captive to withstand adverse events.
10. Recommend an initial capital and surplus for the Captive.
11. Provide an opinion on the feasibility of the Captive to insure C\&D.

## DATA AND RELIANCE

The compensation provided after a flock has tested positive will depend on the type of bird, size of the infected flocks, and the type and size of each flock within a one- to three-kilometer radius of the infected flocks. The producers will be compensated for C\&D costs as a result of a CFIA destroy order. Mr. Sasaki, our main contact with BCPA, provided us with updated C\&D costs by type, using the experience of a 2014 Al outbreak.

Mr. Sasaki provided us with the quota units, paid weight produced, number of birds marketed, production cycle length, and the weight category of each member's farm as well as the simulated spreading rates from the North American Animal Disease Spread Model ("NAADSM"). NAADSM is a computer program designed to simulate the spread and control of foreign animal diseases in a population of susceptible livestock herds. The spreading rates are shown in the Assumptions section. We were given detailed data for 2010 and summarized data for 2016. A summary of the data is shown in Appendix F.

Mr. Sasaki also provided further guidance on January 15, 2018 and we agreed to use six new assumptions for this report. The email correspondences are included in Appendix G.

We did not perform an independent verification of any of the source data. Such verification is beyond the scope of our assignment. In this report, we have relied on data provided by Mr. Sasaki, and assumed that such data is accurate and complete. To the extent that problems with the data are discovered, our analysis may need to be revised and our estimates may need to be revised.

## VARIABILITY OF ACTUARIAL ESTIMATES

There is a limitation upon the accuracy of future claims projection as there is an inherent uncertainty in any projection of future claims. There is uncertainty in any future claims projection because future events could affect the ultimate claim payments. Some examples of future events are:

1) Higher inflation rate than the past,
2) Unusually large number of Al incidences,
3) High cost of reinsurance, and
4) The Al virus becoming commutable by humans.

There have been four notifiable avian influenza ("NAl") outbreaks in the past 13 years: two of them were highly pathogenic AI ("HPAl") and the other two were low pathogenic AI. Due to the low number of historical events, it is difficult to estimate the likelihood of NAI claims accurately in the future.

Therefore, one must acknowledge that the actual future claim amount may differ materially from the simulated result. However, we have employed standard actuarial techniques and assumptions which are appropriate and in our judgment, the conclusions presented in this report are reasonable given the information currently available.

The cost of reinsurance is difficult to forecast because reinsurers are not accustomed to quantifying Al risk. We expect the reinsurance cost will be fairly high and we believe the annual aggregate stop-loss cover may be difficult to place. For the purposes of this report, we have made reasonable assumptions with respect to the pricing of the excess and stop-loss covers in the reinsurance market. We expect that the cost of reinsurance will be known in due course. We recommend the funding (premium) amount be revised to reflect the actual cost of reinsurance.

## DEFINITIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Accident year is the year in which the event (accident/incident) occurs.

Actuarial present value provision is the discounted value of all future payments using an appropriate discount rate with a provision for adverse deviations on a specific valuation date.

Attachment point is the amount of claim where the reinsurance coverage comes into effect.

Catastrophic AI ("CAT-Al") event is a highly pathogenic avian influenza that happens to spread quickly and affect many farms. In the case of a CAT-AI event, all farms within a 3 km radius must be tested for AI.

Colony is made up of farms within 1.0 km of an infected premise in a HPAI event and within 3.0 km of an infected premise in a CAT-AI event.

Cull is to destroy or discard.

Event is the occurrence of Al infection and the possible spread of the disease to neighbouring farms resulting in flock destruction at the infected farms.

Farm crews are external parties coming into a farm to provide services; they are not employees of the farm. Farm crews in this report are equivalent to those considered high risk contacts in the NAADSM.

Incurred loss (claims amount) is the sum of paid losses, expenses and outstanding claims amount.

Index farm is the farm where a flock in British Columbia is first infected with AI in an outbreak.

Infected premise ("IP") is the farm that is infected through direct contact or indirect contact. Infected farm and infected premise are used interchangeably.

Internal loss adjustment expenses ("ILAE") are the expenses required to manage all claims from notice to settlement. These expenses are required even when the Captive uses external adjusters.

Loss ratio is the ratio of incurred losses to premiums earned in a policy year. For example, if premiums are $\$ 100$ and incurred losses are $\$ 50$, then the loss ratio is $50 \%$.

MCT is the Minimum Capital Test.

Notifiable avian influenza ("NAl") is defined by CFIA; it includes highly pathogenic AI and low pathogenic $\mathrm{H} 5 / \mathrm{H} 7$ type AI.

Policy year is the year in which the policies become effective. All premiums and claims attributable to the policies effective in a policy year are combined for analysis.

Probability of infection is abbreviated as PI in this report.

Reinstatement premium is the cost to restore the full coverage limit after a reinsured event has occurred. Otherwise, a second event may leave the Captive inadequately protected. Reinstatement premium (if applicable) is usually calculated as: (replacement coverage required/total limit purchased) times the original reinsurance premium.

Reinsured losses are losses above the retention. For example, if the Captive's retention is $\$ 100,000$, a $\$ 700,000$ claim will result in $\$ 600,000$ reinsured losses and \$100,000 retained losses.

Retention is the amount of claim that the Captive retains for each and every occurrence.

Stop-loss reinsurance protects the Captive so that its annual retained losses cannot exceed a pre-determined limit (known as annual aggregate stop-loss limit).

Valuation date is the date on which actuarial liabilities are estimated.

## ASSUMPTIONS

1. The frequency of an NAI event is once every three years for low pathogenic virus, once every ten years for highly pathogenic virus and once every 50 years for a catastrophic Al event. These frequency rates are taken from the parameterization of the North American Animal Disease Spread Model for the British Columbia poultry industry. In the 2014 highly pathogenic notifiable event, 2 breeder and 2 turkey premises were classified as independent primary introductions of HPAI. Based on the HPAI experience in 2014, the number of producers in each sector as well as the idle time by type of birds, we estimate the incidence relativity of an index farm by sector as follows:

| Broilers | $15 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Breeders | $30 \%$ |
| Layers | $21 \%$ |
| Turkeys | $32 \%$ |
| Ducks | $2 \%$ |

2. All farm crews can work in two poultry farms on any day. However, the second farm has to be in the same region. The three regions in British Columbia are Fraser Valley, Vancouver Island and Interior.
3. A farm crew may infect a second farm in the afternoon only if they have worked on an infected farm in the morning of the same day. This is because AI can only survive outside of a living organism for several hours. For a HPAI event, we assumed a probability of $50 \%$ ( $100 \%$ for CAT-AI) for a farm crew that work in an infected farm in the morning to infect the next farm that they work in the afternoon.
4. It will take up to two days to recognize the clinical signs of highly pathogenic avian influenza and up to two more days to comply with the imposition of
zones and effect movement controls. From the initial infection to discovery and compliance to movement restriction, it will either be 3 days or 4 days with 50\% probability each (See daily infection trees for HPAI in Appendix D). CATAl events are assumed to have a discovery and compliance to movement restrictions on the $4^{\text {th }}$ day.
5. Low pathogenic ("LPAl") avian influenza events are assumed to have immediate discovery and compliance with movement restrictions, and therefore be limited to the index farm. The probability of a LPAI event is $33.3 \%$ per year.
6. The total birds per cycle production by calendar year are as follows:

| Sector | 2010 Birds per <br> Cycle | 2016 Birds per <br> Cycle | 2016 Production |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Broiler | $14,320,077$ | $16,194,285$ | $105,262,850$ |
| Breeder | 889,100 | 775,000 | 775,000 |
| Layer | $2,628,139$ | $3,118,319$ | $3,118,319$ |
| Turkey | $1,201,756$ | 836,748 | $2,510,243$ |

The 2010 barn sizes are adjusted so that the total by sector agrees with the 2016 birds per cycle. The production cycle and idle period between two production cycles by sector are:

|  | Broilers | Breeders | Layers | Turkeys |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Production cycle | 39 days | 59 wks | 71 wks | 16 wks |
| Idle period between cycles | 17 days | 7 wks | 1 wk | 1 wk |
| End-to-end cycles | 56 days | 66 wks | 72 wks | 17 wks |

7. The probability of infection ("PI") between types of birds is initially taken from the parameterization of NAADSM for British Columbia poultry industry. However, we supplemented the information using the 2014 Al event as well as
the no-broiler infection in the recent British Columbia AI outbreak. The PI for broiler is reduced to $5.0 \%$.

The probability of infection table, from one bird type to another, is shown below:

| FromlTo | Broilers | Breeders | Layers | Turkeys | Ducks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Broilers | $5.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| Breeders | $5.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |
| Layers | $5.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |
| Turkeys | $5.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |
| Ducks | $5.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ |

8. The policy will pay C\&D costs per quota unit at the following rate:

|  | Cleaning \& Disinfection Costs per Quota Unit** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | 2014 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Broilers | $\$ 1.00$ | $\$ 1.08$ | $\$ 1.10$ | $\$ 1.13$ |
| Breeders | $\$ 2.00$ | $\$ 2.16$ | $\$ 2.21$ | $\$ 2.25$ |
| Layers (a) | $\$ 2.50$ | $\$ 2.71$ | $\$ 2.76$ | $\$ 2.82$ |
| Layers (b) | $\$ 0.75$ | $\$ 0.81$ | $\$ 0.83$ | $\$ 0.84$ |
| Turkeys | $\$ 2.65$ | $\$ 2.87$ | $\$ 2.93$ | $\$ 2.98$ |

**Adjusted by inflation at 2.0\% per annum

In the alternate cost scenario (b), $\$ 1.75$ per bird would be compensated by the egg industry, not the Captive.
9. The Captive may purchase excess of loss and/or stop-loss reinsurance to limit its risk exposure. Excess-of-loss reinsurance provides coverage for every incident exceeding the per-occurrence retention up to a limit. However, our preliminary investigation concluded that multiple reinsured events in any calendar year are sufficiently remote that per-event excess-of-loss reinsurance
costs mimic stop-loss reinsurance costs. Therefore, we assumed stop-loss reinsurance would be the preferred reinsurance vehicle for the captive. We have evaluated various possible attachment points for the stop-loss reinsurance. The retention should be high enough such that a low pathogenic Al event should not trigger a reinsurance claim.
10. As claims are paid very promptly, the undiscounted amount is considered as the appropriate actuarial present value provision.
11. The cost of stop-loss reinsurance is based on simulated expected reinsured Iosses (see Appendix A) plus 150\% loading for risk margin, expenses and profit. In other words, the expected loss ratio for the reinsurer is $40 \%$. The reinsurance coverage is intended to protect up to a 99.9 percentile event. For this report, we used a $\$ 5.0$ million limit and a net retention of either $\$ 100,000$ or $\$ 250,000$.
12. An inflation of $2 \%$ per annum is applied to loss expenditures and operating expenses.
13. Assets available for investments are assumed to earn 1.0\% per annum.
14. All policies are assumed to run from January $1^{\text {st }}$ and expire on December $31^{\text {st }}$ of each year. This assumption does not affect the estimated claims value. However, all policies should expire on the year-end date of the Captive so that no unearned premiums will be required on the filing date of the Captive.
15. We assumed a $\$ 500$ external adjuster cost for each farm given the simplicity of the claims process. Operating expenses are assumed to be $\$ 63,500$, $\$ 65,000$ and $\$ 66,000$ in 2018 to 2020, respectively.

## ANALYSIS

The British Columbia poultry industry follows the CFIA policy regarding NAI. An integral part of the policy is a recovery process that compensates farmers for losses incurred due to the destruction of AI infected flocks. BCPA has a more prescriptive, mandatory biosecurity policy and is consistent with the CFIA policy's principles. The policy is audited and applicable to all licensed poultry producers in British Columbia. Members of BCPA are required to cull all infected birds tested with highly pathogenic Al within a one-kilometer radius of the infected farm to avoid the spread of the disease. Furthermore, in the event of an outbreak (CAT-AI), the CFIA will survey all farms within a three-kilometer radius and monitor farms within a ten-kilometer radius.

Currently, the CFIA provides some compensation to producers for birds culled as a result of a NAI outbreak, but it does not pay any compensation for birds that die prior to the destruction of the flock. Furthermore, the CFIA expects-but does not compensate for-a higher standard of cleaning and disinfection be applied to an infected premise that must be inspected prior to re-stocking.

In the proposed BCPA policy, culling only occurs if there is a positive test result for NAI within the surveillance zone ( 1 km for HPAI, or 3 km for CAT-AI).

As a quid-pro-quo to implement a Notifiable Avian Influenza Hazard Specific Plan, there has to be a compensation (insurance) scheme to minimize the financial shock to poultry producers. The poultry marketing boards of British Columbia have concluded that they could request the authority to mandate C\&D expense insurance only, and are currently in the decision making process of whether to exercise the authority. This section outlines the methodology and funding requirement for such a C\&D insurance program using a Captive as a vehicle to spread the risk among the members of BCPA. The Captive would indemnify producers' C\&D costs in the event of an insured occurrence.

For each simulated event, we have to determine whether the event is a LPAI, HPAI or CAT-AI. Then we have to determine which sector will likely be an index farm. We estimated the probability of each sector being an index farm by credibility weighting the HPAI event in 2014 with the expected number of events based on exposure. Exposure takes into account the number of farms, percentage of idle time or extremely high temperature. The details are shown in Appendix C.

There are two probable ways to spread AI:

1. Direct contact through the tools, clothes, gloves and boots of the working crew; and
2. Indirect contact from an unknown source (e.g. wild birds).

For direct contact, we assumed the probability of infection from farm to farm to be 0\% for a LPAI, 50\% for a HPAI and 100\% for a CAT-AI event.

For indirect contact, we used the PI table shown below to assess the potential infection of each farm within a colony based on the type of birds in the farm.

| FromlTo | Broilers | Breeders | Layers | Turkeys | Ducks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Broilers | $5.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| Breeders | $5.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |
| Layers | $5.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |
| Turkeys | $5.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |
| Ducks | $5.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ |

Once a farm is infected by HPAI, all farms within 1 km of this infected premise (i.e. a colony) will be tested for potential AI. If it is a CAT-AI event, all farms within 3 km will be tested for AI. The PI table above recognizes that the transmission rate from farm A to farm B depends on the types of birds. Once a premise tests positive, all birds in that farm will be destroyed; C\&D will be required before operation can be restored.

In our analysis, C\&D costs for each event were estimated by sector and based on the number of birds in each infected farm. The number of birds in each farm were adjusted to the 2016 bird population level. The C\&D costs of all simulated events (LPAI, HPAI, and CAT-AI, if applicable) were added to arrive at the yearly losses (Appendix A). We used a Monte Carlo technique to simulate 100,000 years and derive the expected (average) yearly losses. Finally, we added adjusting and operating expenses to the expected yearly losses to arrive at the premium level (without reinsurance).

The simulation process is as follows:

1. Each year, up to six events (three for LPAI and three for others) are simulated using a Poisson distribution with a mean frequency of 0.333 for LPAI, 0.100 for HPAI and 0.020 for CAT-AI.
2. For each event simulated, a region is drawn randomly based on the number of farms in each region.
3. Then the sector of the index farm is simulated. The probability of each sector being an index farm is calculated by credibility weighting the actual event in 2014 with the expected number of events based on exposure (Appendix C).
4. For every index farm, there would be 15 potential farms for AI propagation through direct contact. For a CAT-AI event, the AI propagation is allowed until the end of the $4^{\text {th }}$ day. For a HPAI event, we performed a random draw using a binomial distribution based on a 50\% probability that compliance starts on either the $3^{\text {rd }}$ or $4^{\text {th }}$ day.

These 15 potential farms are drawn randomly from the region where the index farm is. The probability that the selected farm belongs to a particular sector is as follows: J. S. CHENG \& PARTNERS INC.

| Region | Broilers | Breeders | Layers | Turkeys | Ducks |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FV | $49.8 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| VI | $73.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| INT | $46.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $37.2 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

Normally, the probability should be proportional to the number of farms in that sector as a percent of all farms in the region. However, breeders and ducks tend to have more external contacts from farm crews; to reflect this, breeders and ducks have an increased probability. On the other hand, layers' probability is reduced to account for fewer contacts (See Appendix E).

For a LPAI event, we assumed there would not be any spreading beyond the index farm.
5. For every potential direct contact in Step 4, we used a random draw approach (again, a binomial distribution with a 50\% probability) to determine whether the farm crew worked on the infected premise in the morning or afternoon. If the first random draw has the crew working on an infected premise in the afternoon, there would be no further propagation from the infected premise. However, should it be determined that a crew has worked on an infected premise in the morning, another random draw is used to determine whether the farm where the crew worked in the afternoon was subsequently infected. This second random draw would also be done using a binomial distribution with an infection probability of $50 \%$ for HPAI and $100 \%$ for CAT-event. An infection tree for a HPAI event is shown in Appendix D with PI for each of the 15 potential farms.
6. For potential indirect contacts due to proximity to each infected premise in Step 5 , we calculated the probability weighted losses of each colony (within 1 km of the infected premise for HPAI, and 3 km for CAT-AI) based on the spreading probabilities by sector on page 20. In other words, only a percentage of the farms in the surveillance zone may test positive and require C\&D.

Individual farm data (with respect to the population of birds per cycle) is based on 2010 data adjusted to 2016 using the sector's growth rate since 2010. For example, bird counts in broiler farms increased by $13.1 \%$ while the bird counts in turkey farms decreased by 12.8\% (See Appendix F).
7. If a farm in a colony is found to be infected, the colony will have to be investigated anew. This process will continue until Al propagation ends. As this is too complicated to simulate, we simplified this process by adding a loading to the indirect losses from the 15 potential farms to represent additional spreading. These loadings are determined based on the average indirect-to-direct losses for the 15 original colonies.

The indirect loss loadings by sector are:

|  | Broilers | Breeders | Layers | Turkeys |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct Losses | 7,696 | 3,492 | 7,744 | 6,803 |
| Indirect Losses | 3,326 | 2,025 | 8,626 | 1,894 |
| Indicated Loading | $43.2 \%$ | $58.0 \%$ | $111.4 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ |
| Selected Loading | $45.0 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $110.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ |

8. For each infected premise, the type and number of birds multiplied by the C\&D cost per quota unit for each sector will generate the C\&D cost. The C\&D cost per quota unit by sector and by year are shown on page 17. The sum of all infected premises (direct and indirect contacts) is sub-totaled by sector in each event.

The C\&D costs of each infected premise are adjusted by an inflation rate of 2.0\% p.a. from 2014 to the year of the AI outbreak.
9. For each of the 100,000 simulated years, the model keeps track of the simulated losses to determine the expected reinsured losses at a net retention of either $\$ 100,000$ or $\$ 250,000$.

Reinsured Losses $=\operatorname{Max}(0$, Simulated Yearly Losses - Net Retention $)$

The results of the simulation in 2018 are:

| Percentile | No Reins. | $\$ 100,000$ Aggr. Stop Loss |  | \$250,000 Aggr. Stop Loss |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Captive | Reinsurer | Captive <br> C\&D |  |
|  | C\&\& | C\&D | C\&D | C\&D | C\&D |
|  | Costs | Costs | Costs | Costs | Costs |
|  | $(\$)$ | $(\$)$ | $(\$)$ | $(\$)$ | $(\$)$ |
| Average | 49,925 | 19,577 | 30,348 | 28,723 | 21,202 |
| 70.0 | 16,580 | 16,580 | - | 16,580 | - |
| 80.0 | 41,515 | 41,515 | - | 41,515 | - |
| 90.0 | 98,310 | 98,310 | - | 98,310 | - |
| 95.0 | 197,362 | 100,000 | 97,362 | 197,362 | - |
| 99.0 | 968,950 | 100,000 | 868,950 | 250,000 | 718,950 |
| 99.5 | $1,714,977$ | 100,000 | $1,614,977$ | 250,000 | $1,464,977$ |
| 99.9 | $3,044,223$ | 100,000 | $2,944,223$ | 250,000 | $2,794,223$ |

See Appendix A1, Year 2018

The simulation results indicate a $\$ 100,000$ aggregate stop-loss would transfer 61\% (or $\$ 49,925-\$ 19,577=\$ 30,348$ ) of the expected losses to the reinsurer. However, reinsurers incur expenses as well as require a large risk premium to reinsure the potential of a catastrophic loss. In this analysis, we used a 40\% reinsurer expected loss ratio to gross up expected reinsured losses to derive the cost of reinsurance.

The funding requirement for a Captive with no reinsurance, with \$100,000 aggregate stop-loss reinsurance and \$250,000 aggregate stop-loss reinsurance in 2018 are summarized below (details are in Appendix A):

|  | No <br> Reinsurance | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 100,000 \\ \text { Agg. Stop } \\ \text { Loss } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 250,000 \\ \text { Agg. Stop } \\ \text { Loss } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected losses (Captive) | 49,925 | 19,577 | 28,723 |
| External adjuster expenses | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Cost of Stop loss |  | 75,870 | 53,004 |
| Operating expenses | 63,500 | 63,500 | 63,500 |
| Premium Tax (4\%) | 4,747 | 6,644 | 6,072 |
| 2018 Premium | 118,671 | 166,090 | 151,799 |
| Savings versus the next lower retention Extra risk assumed versus next lower retention Payback period for one full retention (in years) |  |  | 14,291 |
|  |  |  | 150,000 |
|  |  |  | 10.5 |

While a Captive with no reinsurance requires far less premiums, the potential for catastrophic losses outweighs the reward to keep premiums at their lowest level. On the other hand, increasing the retention to $\$ 250,000$ per year yields only a $\$ 14,300$ savings. Therefore, we recommend the Captive have a net retention of \$100,000 to limit losses and provide financial stability.

Using a $\$ 100,000$ net retention, we recommend an annual premium of $\$ 166,090$ in 2018. The allocation of the annual premium by sector is as follows:

| 2018 Premiums | Broilers | Breeders | Layers | Turkeys | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 100,000$ Stop Loss | 40,303 | 21,106 | 74,770 | 29,910 | 166,090 |
| Rate Per 1,000 Birds | 0.38 | 27.23 | 23.98 | 11.92 |  |
| 2016 Production | $105,262,850$ | 775,000 | $3,118,319$ | $2,510,243$ | $111,666,412$ |

The premium allocation for the alternate cost scenario where $\$ 1.75$ of layers' C\&D cost per bird would be compensated by the egg industry is included in Appendix A2.

As the data we used is sparse and the CFIA-based biosecurity program is evolving, the future frequency might be much higher or lower than what we assumed. We recommend an initial capital of $\$ 1.0$ million to maintain the Captive's financial stability.

In the following pro-forma financial statements, we used the most likely incurred losses as opposed to an average amount. Therefore, low pathogenic Al incurred losses of $\$ 43,500$ are assumed to occur once in a three-year block (we put it in 2019, the middle of a 3-year period) in the base scenario. We added an adjusting expense of $\$ 500$ to derive incurred losses of $\$ 44,000$.

|  | Amount in \$ <br> (rounded) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Direct Written Premiums | 166,000 | 170,000 | 173,000 |
| Ceded Written Premiums | 76,000 | 78,000 | 80,000 |
| Net Written Premiums | 90,000 | 92,000 | 93,000 |
| Incurred Losses | - | 44,000 | - |
| General and Other Expenses | 68,500 | 69,800 | 71,100 |
| Underwriting Income | 22,000 | $(22,000)$ | 22,000 |
| Investment Income | 9,000 | 9,000 | 9,000 |
| Assets | $1,031,000$ | $1,018,000$ | $1,049,000$ |
| Liabilities | - | - | - |
| Equity | $1,031,000$ | $1,018,000$ | $1,049,000$ |
| MCT | $7931 \%$ | $7831 \%$ | $8069 \%$ |

The income statement, balance sheet and solvency test are shown in Appendix 1.

A $150 \%$ MCT score is assumed to be the supervisory target. An average P\&C company has a MCT score of $250 \%$. With an initial capital of $\$ 1.0$ million, the proposed Captive has a MCT score in excess of $1000 \%$; this is necessary due to the lack of historical data and the uncertain catastrophic exposure.

We stress tested the Captive using a $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile Al event to show that the proposed funding requirement with a $\$ 5.0$ million reinsurance limit is actuarially sound. We assumed $\$ 988,000$ in insured losses in 2019 based on our simulated results. With a $\$ 100,000$ net retention, $\$ 888,0000$ would be covered under the stoploss reinsurance agreement. There would be a reinstatement premium of $\$ 14,000$ ( $\$ 0.89$ million / $\$ 5.0$ million $x$ reinsurance premiums of $\$ 78,000$ ). We also added $\$ 15,000$ external adjuster expenses using an adjusting expense of $\$ 510$ per claim (infected farm). In this scenario, the Captive would withstand a $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile event-maintaining a positive surplus while meeting the supervisory MCT target of 150\% (Appendix 2).

In addition, we also stress tested the Captive with a $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile AI event together with a $12.5 \%$ impairment on reinsurance recoverable. In this scenario, the reinsurance recovery would be reduced by $\$ 111,000$ ( $12.5 \%$ of $\$ 888,000$ ); at the same time, the reinstatement premium would also decrease to $\$ 12,000$ ( $\$ 14,000 \mathrm{x}$ $87.5 \%$ ). The $\$ 15,000$ external adjuster expenses would remain unchanged, thus the net loss for the captive becomes $\$ 226,000(\$ 100,000+\$ 111,000+\$ 15,000)$. In this scenario, the Captive would withstand this $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile integrated event; again, the Captive would maintain a positive surplus and meet the supervisory MCT target of 150\% (Appendix 3).

The key results of the base and the two tested adverse scenarios are summarized below:

|  |  | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equity | Base | 1,031 | 1,018 | 1,049 |
|  | $99 t h \%$ Al Event | 1,031 | 933 | 964 |
|  | 99 th\% Al Event \& Reinsurer Default | 1,031 | 822 | 852 |
| MCT | Base | $7931 \%$ | $7831 \%$ | $8069 \%$ |
|  | $99 t h \%$ Al Event | $7931 \%$ | $7177 \%$ | $7415 \%$ |
|  | $99 t h \%$ Al Event \& Reinsurer Default | $7931 \%$ | $6850 \%$ | $7100 \%$ |

The income statement, balance sheet and solvency test for the adverse scenarios are shown in Appendices 2 and 3.

While the indicated results suggest a lower initial capital could be feasible for the Captive, our model does not and cannot possibly account for all the risks faced by the Captive. Some examples are: higher probability of infection between sectors, higher frequency of AI events, higher cleaning and disinfecting costs, etc. Therefore, we recommend an initial capital of $\$ 1.0$ million to maintain the financial stability of the Captive.

The Captive might consider not purchasing reinsurance. This will likely be cheaper to operate but it also introduces instability in the operating results. Quite often when participants are not in the insurance business, a couple years of high lossesespecially in the early years of a self-insurance scheme-can cause a complete loss of confidence and ultimately the demise of the entire insurance program. In comparison, a Captive with reinsurance delivers the benefits (with minimal risk) of a regulated structure and instills discipline among its participants to ride with any adverse operating results.

## CONCLUSION

Based on our analysis, we concluded that establishing a captive insurance company to provide C\&D insurance to members of BCPA is feasible provided that:

1. The proposed Captive has proper reinsurance (preferably at least $\$ 5.0$ million per occurrence);
2. The aforementioned reinsurance is purchased from a number of financially strong reinsurance firms;
3. The proposed Captive has at least $\$ 1.0$ million start-up capital; and
4. The initial net retention is no more than $\$ 250,000$ per year, and preferably \$100,000 per year.

## THIS

PAGE

IS
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT
BLANK

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

| THREE-YEAR PRO-FORMA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| APPENDIX 1 | Base Scenario |
| APPENDIX 2 | $99^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Adverse Scenario |
| APPENDIX 3 | $99^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Adverse Scenario with 12.5\% Defaults on <br> Recovery |
| SIMULATION MODEL \& DATA |  |
| APPENDIX A | Premiums Requirement for 2018, 2019 and 2020 by <br> APPENDIX A1 |
| C\&D Cost Simulation Results for 2018, 2019 and 2020 |  |
| APPENDIX A2 | C\&D Cost Simulation Results for 2018 |

## THIS

PAGE
IS
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT
BLANK

## APPENDIX 1

Base Scenario
Cash and Cash Equivalents
Investment Income due and accrued
Assets held for sale
Investment
Short Term Investments
Bonds and Debentures
Mortgage Loans
Preferred Shares
Common Shares
Investment Properties
Other Loans and Invested Assets
Total Investments (lines 4 to 10)
Receivables:
Unaffiliated Agents and Brokers
Policyholders
Instalment Premiums
Other Insurers
"Facility Association" and the "P.R.R."
Subsidiaries, Associates \& Joint Ventures
Income Taxes
Other Receivables
TOTAL ASSETS
Recoverable from Reinsurers:
Unearned Premiums
Unpaid Claims and Adjustment Expenses
Other Recoverables on Unpaid Claims
Interests in Subsidiaries, Associates \& Joint Ventures
Property and Equipment
Deferred Policy Acquisition Expenses
Current Tax Assets
Deferred Tax Assets
Goodwill
Intangible Assets
Defined Benefit Pension Plan Assets
Other
Ther

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 131 | 118 | 149 |
| 2 | - | - | - |
| 50 | - | - | - |
| 4 | 900 | 900 | 900 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | - | - | - |
| 7 | - | - | - |
| 8 | - | - | - |
| 9 | - | - | - |
| 10 | - | - | - |
| 19 | 900 | 900 | 900 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 20 | - | - | - |
| 21 | - | - | - |
| 22 | - | - | - |
| 23 | - | - | - |
| 24 | - | - | - |
| 25 | - | - | - |
| 26 | - | - | - |
| 27 | - | - | - |
| 30 | - | - | - |
| 31 | - | - | - |
| 37 | - | - | - |
| 40 | - | - | - |
| 41 | - | - | - |
| 43 | - | - | - |
| 52 | - | - | - |
| 44 | - | - | - |
| 54 | - | - | - |
| 56 | - | - | - |
| 58 | - | - | - |
| 88 | - | - | - |
| 89 | 1,031 | 1,018 | 1,049 |

## BC Poultry Captive Insurance Company

## Appendix 1

## LIABILITIES, CAPITAL, SURPLUS AND RESERVES (\$000) <br> BASE SCENARIO (\$100,000 Net Retention)

## LIABILITIES

Overdrafts
Borrowed Money and Accrued Interest
Payables:
Unaffiliated Agents and Brokers
Policyholders
Other Insurers
Subsidiaries, Associates \& Joint Ventures/Affiliates
Expenses due and accrued
Other Taxes due and accrued
Policyholder Dividends and Rating Adjustments
Encumbrances on Real Estate
Unearned Premiums
Unpaid Claims and Adjustment Expenses
1

Unearned Commissions
Ceded Deferred Premium Taxes
Premium Deficiency
Liabilities held for sale
Current Tax Liabilities
Deferred Tax Liabilities
Self-Insured Retention (SIR) portion of unpaid claims
Defined Benefit Pension Plan Obligation
Employment Benefits(not incl. amts on line 23 above)
Subordinated Debt
Preferred Shares - Debt
Provisions and Other Liabilities
Total Liabilities

EQUITY
Shares issued and paid
Common
Preferred
Contributed Surplus
(Specify)
Retained Earnings
Reserves
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income(Loss)
Total Policyholders/Shareholders' Equity
Non-controlling Interests
Total Equity
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Base } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - | - | - |
| 2 | - | - | - |
| 3 | - | - | - |
| 4 | - | - | - |
| 5 | - | - | - |
| 6 | - | - | - |
| 7 | - | - | - |
| 9 | - | - | - |
| 10 | - | - | - |
| 11 | - | - | - |
| 12 | - | - | - |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 14 | - | - | - |
| 20 | - | - | - |
| 15 | - | - | - |
| 17 | - | - | - |
| 18 | - | - | - |
| 21 | - | - | - |
| 22 | - | - | - |
| 23 | - | - | - |
| 24 | - | - | - |
| 25 | - | - | - |
| 26 | - | - | - |
| 28 | - | - | - |
| 29 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 41 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 33 | - | - | - |
| 42 | - | - | - |
| 43 |  |  |  |
| 44 | 31 | 18 | 49 |
| 45 | - | - | - |
| 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 59 | 1,031 | 1,018 | 1,049 |
| 48 |  |  |  |
| 49 | 1,031 | 1,018 | 1,049 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 89 | 1,031 | 1,018 | 1,049 |

## UNDERWRITING OPERATIONS <br> (\$000) <br> BASE SCENARIO (\$100,000 Net Retention)

Premiums Written
Direct
Reinsurance Assumed
Reinsurance Ceded
Net Premiums Written
Decrease (Increase) in Net Unearned Premiums
Net Premiums Earned
Service Charges
Other
Total Underwriting Revenue
Gross Claims and Adjustment Expenses
Reinsurers' share of claims and adjustment expenses
Net Claims and Adjustment Expense
Acquisition Expenses:
Gross Commissions
Ceded Commissions
Taxes
Other
General Expenses
Total Claims and Expenses
Premium Deficiency Adjustments
Underwriting Income (Loss)
INVESTMENT OPERATIONS
Income
Recognized Gains (Losses)
Expenses
Net Investment Income

## OTHER REVENUE AND EXPENSES

 (net of Expenses of \$000........)Share of Net Income (Loss) of Subsidiaries, Associates and Joint Ventures
Gains (Losses) from fluctuations in Foreign Exchange Rates
Other Revenues
Finance costs
Other Expenses
Income (Loss) before Income Taxes and Extraordinary Items

## INCOME TAXES

## Current

Future
Total Income Taxes
NET INCOME (LOSS) FOR THE YEAR
ATTRIBUTABLE TO:
Non-controlling interests
Equity Holders

Loss Ratio
Expense Ratio
Combined Ratio
ROE


## STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN RETAINED EARNINGS <br> (\$000) <br> BASE SCENARIO (\$100,000 Net Retention)

Balance at beginning of prior year
Net Income Portion of Total Comprehensive Income for the Year Issue of Share Capital

Transfer from/to Retained Earnings
Decrease/increase in Reserves
Dividends
Preferred
Common
Other
Balance at End of Prior Year

Changes in Equity for Current Year
Net Income Portion of Total Comprehensive Income for the Year Issue of Share Capital
Transfer from/to Retained Earnings
Decrease/increase in Reserves
Dividends
Preferred
Common
Other
Balance at End of Current Year

| Base <br> 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| 9 | - | - | 31 |
| 2 | - | 31 | $(13)$ |
| 15 | - | - | - |
| 13 | - | - | - |
| 17 | - | - | - |
| 18 | - | - | - |
| 16 | - | - | - |
| 19 | - | - | - |


| 31 | $(13)$ | 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| 31 | 18 | 49 |

## (\$000)

BASE SCENARIO (\$100,000 Net Retention)

```
Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of Income Taxes
    Net Income.
```



```
        1
    Other Comprehensive Income (Loss):
    Items that may be reclassified subsequently to Net Income:
        Available for Sale:
                        Change in Unrealized Gains and Losses:
                        -Loans..
                                -Bonds and Debentures.
                                -Equities.
```



```
        Derivatives Designated as Cash Flow Hedges
            Change in Unrealized Gains and Losses.
            Reclassification to Earnings of Gains/(Losses)
        Foreign Currency Translation
                        -Change in Unrealized Gains and Losses
                -Impact of Hedging
            Other.
    Subtotal of items that may be reclassified subsequently to Net Income 1918
    Items that will not be reclassified subsequently to Net Income:
        Revaluation Surplus
        Share of Other Comprehensive Income of
        Subsidiaries, Associates & Joint Ventures
    Remeasurements of Defined Benefit Plans
    Other
    Subtotal of items that will not be reclassified subsequently to Net Income
    Total Other Comprehensive Income (Loss).
Total Comprehensive Income (Loss)
ATTRIBUTABLE TO:
    Non-controling Interests.
    Equity Holders.
```

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss),
net of Income Taxes
Accumulated Gains/(Losses) on:
Items that may be reclassified subsequently to Net Income:
Available for Sale:
-Loans. ..... 42
-Bonds and Debentures. ..... 43
-Equities. ..... 44
Derivatives Designated as Cash Flow Hedges.. ..... 45
Foreign Currency (net of hedging activities) ..... 46
Other. ..... 68
Subtotal of items that may be reclassified subsequently to Net Income ..... 69
Items that will not be reclassified subsequently to Net Income:
Revaluation Surplus71Share of Other Comprehensive Income ofSubsidiaries, Associates \& Joint Ventures51
Remeasurements of Defined Benefit Plans ..... 74Other.
Subtotal of items that will not be reclassified subsequently to Net Income ..... 79
Balance at end of year.
59
actuaries who Care ${ }^{\circledR}$ J. S. CHENG \& PARTNERS INC.
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## MINIMUM CAPITAL TEST - 2015 FORMULATION <br> (\$000) <br> BASE SCENARIO (\$100,000 Net Retention)

## Capital Available

Qualifying category A common shares
Contributed Surplus

## Retained Earnings

Accumulated net after-tax fair value gains(losses) due to changes in the
Less: company's own credit risk
Unrealized net after-tax fair value gains(losses) on own use properties at conversion to IFRS-cost model
Add: Accumulated net after-tax revaluation losses in excess of gains on own use properties-revaluation model
Subtotal :Retained earnings net of adjustments
Earthquake reserves
Less: Earthquake EPR not used as part of financial resources to cover exposure Nuclear reserves
General and contingency reserves
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)
Accumulated net after-tax fair value gains(losses) on cash flow hedges that are not fair valued on the balance sheet
Accumulated net after-tax fair value gains(losses) due to changes in the company's own credit risk
Accumulated net after-tax unrealized gains on own-use properties-revaluation surplus
Accumulated net after-tax impact of shadow accounting
Subtotal :AOCI net of adjustments
Qualifying category B instruments -Non-cumulative perpetual preferred shares
Qualifying category B instruments -Other
Qualifying category C instruments-Preferred shares
Qualifying category C instruments-Subordinated debt
Accumulated amortization of category C instruments for captial adequacy purposes
Net qualifying category C instruments
Non-controlling interests
(specify)
Subtotal :capital available gross of deductions
Deductions:
interests in non-qualifying subsidiaries
Interests in associates
Interests in joint ventures with more than 10\% ownership
Loans considered as capital to non-qualifying subsidiaries
Loans considered as capital to associates
Loans considered as capital to joint ventures with more than $10 \%$ ownership
Receivables and recoverables from unregistered insurers not covered by acceptable collateral

Self-insured retentions, where OSFI requires collateral and no collateral has been received DPAE other for A\&S business
Goodwill (net of eligible deferred tax liability)
Intangible assets including computer software (net of eligible deferred tax liability)
Deferred tax assets excluding those arising from temporary differences (net of eligible deferred tax liability)
Net defined benefit pension plan surplus asset, net of available refunds (net of eligible deferred tax liability)
Investments in own capital instruments not derecognized for accounting purposes
Reciprocal cross holdings in the capital of financial entities
Specify
Subtotal :total deductions from capital available
Total Capital Available

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 18 | 49 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 18 | 49 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,031 | 1,018 | 1,049 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,031 | 1,018 | 1,049 |

Appendix 1

## MINIMUM CAPITAL TEST - 2015 FORMULATION (CONT'D)

(\$000)

## BASE SCENARIO (\$100,000 Net Retention)

## Total Capital Available

Capital (Margin) Required at Target:
Insurance Risk: Premium liabilities
Unpaid claims
Catastrophes
Margin required for reinsurance ceded to unregistered Insurers

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | 2019 | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 | 1,031 | 1,018 | 1,049 |
| 22 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 51 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| 34 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 70 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 59 | 19 | 20 | 20 |
| 69 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 39 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 89 | 1,018 | 1,005 | 1,036 |
| 90 | 7931\% | 7831\% | 8069\% |

## CAPITAL REQUIRED FOR BALANCE SHEET ASSETS <br> (\$000) <br> BASE SCENARIO (\$100,000 Net Retention)

## Cash

Investment Income due and accrued
Investments:
Long-Term Obligations including Term Deposits Bonds and Debentures
Loans (at amortized cost):
Government Grade
Loans rated A- and higher, and Residential Mortgages\}
Commercial Mortgages
Other
Subsidiaries,Associates \& Joint Ventures(not considered capital)
Adjustment to reflect difference between amortized cost and Balance Sheet value of loans

## Preferred Shares

Common Shares

## Investment Properties

Interests in Subsidiaries, Associates \& Joint Ventures
Other Investments

## Receivables:

Government Grade
Facility Association" and the "P.R.R."
Agents, Brokers, Policyholders, Associates, Joint Ventures, Nonqualifying Subsidiaries and Other Receivables:

- Instalment Prem(not yet due)
- Outstanding less than 60 days
- Outstanding 60 days or more

Insurers - Registered Associated

- Registered Non-Associated
- Unregistered

Recoverable from Reinsurers:

| - Registered Associated | - Unearned Premiums |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | - Unpaid Claims |
| - Registered Non-associated | - Unearned Premiums |
| - Unregistered | - Unpaid Claims |

Other Recoverables on Unpaid Claim including SIRs not deducted from capital Own Use Properties (valued using cost model)
Adjustment to reflect difference between cost model and Balance Sheet value of Own Use Properties
Deferred Policy Acquisition Expenses
Premium Taxes
Commissions(A\&S)
Other

## Deferred Tax Assets:

Eligible Deferred tax assets arising from temp diff realized through loss carybacks from inc. tax paid last three yrs
Other
Other Assets: Goodwill and Other Intangibles
Computer Software
Other Assets (net of lines 85 and 84) and Equipment

Total Credit Risk Margin for Balance Sheet Assets

| Eligible Deferred tax assets arising from temp diff realized through |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| loss carybacks from inc. tax paid last three yrs |  |
| Other | 81 |
| Other Assets: Goodwill and Other Intangibles | 85 |
| Computer Software | 84 |
| Other Assets (net of lines 85 and 84) and Equipment | 86 |
|  | 88 |
| Total Credit Risk Margin for Balance Sheet Assets | 89 |


| Factor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & 2017 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2018 | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.50\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 45.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Note | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.70\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.70\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.50\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.50\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 20.00 \% \\ & 10.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \% \\ & \text { Note } \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 10.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Note |  |  |  |
| Note |  |  |  |
| Note |  |  |  |
| 10.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Note |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | J. S. Cheng \& partners inc.

## Insurance Risk <br> (\$000) <br> BASE SCENARIO (\$100,000 Net Retention) dISCOUNTED UNPAID CLAIMS

Property - personal...................................

- commercial ..... 07
Property - total. ..... 09
Aircraft. ..... 10
Automobile ..... 19- liability....................
- other20
Automobile - total. ..... 29
Boiler and Machinery. ..... 32
34Credit Protection
Fidelity. ..... 35
Hail. ..... 36
Legal Expense ..... 40
Liability. ..... 59
Mortgage. ..... 62
Other Approved Products. ..... 63
Surety ..... 64
Title. ..... 66
Marine ..... 68
Accident and Sickness ..... 70
TOTAL. ..... 89

| Factor | Base <br> 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | Distr. Selected <br> Forecast |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $15.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $10.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
|  | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $20.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $10.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $10.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $15.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
|  | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $15.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $20.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $20.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $20.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $20.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $25.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $25.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $20.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $20.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $20.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $15.00 \%$ | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |  |
| $20.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| Note | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |

## Capital Required Margin on Unpaid Claims

a) Net Unpaid Claims margin(excl.A\&S)
b) Net Unpaid Claims margin(A\&S)
c) Net Unpaid Claims margin(Total)

| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |

DISCOUNTED PREMIUM LIABILITIES

| $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Property } & \text { - personal... } \\ & \text { - commercia }\end{array}$ |  | Factor | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | Forecast |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 03 | 20.00\% | 27 | 28 | 28 | 0.00\% |
|  | 07 | 20.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Property - total.. | 09 |  | 27 | 28 | 28 | 0.00\% |
| Aircraft. | 10 | 25.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Automobile $\begin{aligned} & \text { - liability } \\ & \text { - person } \\ & \text { - other. }\end{aligned}$ | 19 | 15.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
|  | 20 | 15.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
|  | 21 | 20.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Automobile - total. | 29 |  | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Boiler and Machinery. | 32 | 20.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Credit. | 34 | 25.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Credit Protection.. | 35 | 25.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Fidelity.. | 36 | 25.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Hail. | 38 | 25.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Legal Expense | 40 | 30.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Liability.. | 59 | 30.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Mortgage.. | 62 | 25.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Other Approved Products.. | 63 | 25.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Surety.. | 64 | 25.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Title. | 66 | 20.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Marine | 68 | 25.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Accident and Sickness. | 70 | Note | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| TOTAL | 89 |  | 27 | 28 | 28 | 0.00\% |

## Capital Required Margin on Discounted Premium Liabilities

d) Net premium liability margin(excl. A\&S)
e) Net premium liability margin(A\&S)
f) Premium liabilities margin (Total)

| 5 | 6 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 6 | 6 |

MCT (BAAT) MARKET RISK CAPITAL (MARGIN) REQUIREMENTS (\$000)

## BASE SCENARIO (\$100,000 Net Retention)

## Total Interest Sensitive Assets

Modified or Effective Duration
Interest rate shock factor
Dollar fair value change

Total Interest Sensitive Liabilities
Net unpaid claims and adjustment expenses
Net premium liabilities
Modified or Effective Duration: Net unpaid claims
Modified or Effective Duration:Premium liabilities
Interest rate shock factor
Dollar fair value change

Total interest rate risk margin

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 900 | 900 | 900 |
| 2 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 3 | 1.25\% | 1.25\% | 1.25\% |
| 4 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 5 | - | - | - |
| 6 | - | - | - |
| 7 | - | - | - |
| 7 | - | - | - |
| 8 |  |  |  |
| 9 | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
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## APPENDIX 2

$99^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Scenario
Cash and Cash Equivalents
Investment Income due and accrued
Assets held for sale
Investment
Short Term Investments
Bonds and Debentures
Mortgage Loans
Preferred Shares
Common Shares
Investment Properties
Other Loans and Invested Assets
Total Investments (lines 4 to 10)
Receivables:
Unaffiliated Agents and Brokers
Policyholders
Instalment Premiums
Other Insurers
"Facility Association" and the "P.R.R."
Subsidiaries, Associates \& Joint Ventures
Income Taxes
Other Receivables
Recoverable from Reinsurers:
Unearned Premiums
Unpaid Claims and Adjustment Expenses
Other Recoverables on Unpaid Claims
Interests in Subsidiaries, Associates \& Joint Ventures
Property and Equipment
Deferred Policy Acquisition Expenses
Current Tax Assets
Deferred Tax Assets
Goodwill
Intangible Assets
Defined Benefit Pension Plan Assets
Other Assets
TOTAL ASSETS
To

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Base } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 131 | 33 | 64 |
| 2 | - | - | - |
| 50 | - | - | - |
| 4 | 900 | 900 | 900 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | - | - | - |
| 7 | - | - | - |
| 8 | - | - | - |
| 9 | - | - | - |
| 10 | - | - | - |
| 19 | 900 | 900 | 900 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 20 | - | - | - |
| 21 | - | - | - |
| 22 | - | - | - |
| 23 | - | - | - |
| 24 | - | - | - |
| 25 | - | - | - |
| 26 | - | - | - |
| 27 | - | - | - |
| 30 | - | - | - |
| 31 | - | - | - |
| 37 | - | - | - |
| 40 | - | - | - |
| 41 | - | - | - |
| 43 | - | - | - |
| 52 | - | - | - |
| 44 | - | - | - |
| 54 | - | - | - |
| 56 | - | - | - |
| 58 | - | - | - |
| 88 | - | - | - |
| 89 | 1,031 | 933 | 964 |

## LIABILITIES, CAPITAL, SURPLUS AND RESERVES <br> (\$000) <br> 99TH PERCENTILE SCENARIO

## LIABILITIES

Overdrafts
Borrowed Money and Accrued Interest
Payables:
Unaffiliated Agents and Brokers
Policyholders
Other Insurers
Subsidiaries, Associates \& Joint Ventures/Affiliates
Expenses due and accrued
Other Taxes due and accrued
Policyholder Dividends and Rating Adjustments
Encumbrances on Real Estate
Unearned Premiums
Unpaid Claims and Adjustment Expenses
Unearned Commissions

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - 0 | - 0 | - 0 |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - 0 | - 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |
| 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| 31 | (67) | (36) |
| - | - | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,031 | 933 | 964 |
| 1,031 | 933 | 964 |
|  |  |  |
| 1,031 | 933 | 964 |

## UNDERWRITING OPERATIONS (\$000) <br> 99TH PERCENTILE SCENARIO



## STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN RETAINED EARNINGS

## (\$000)

99TH PERCENTILE SCENARIO
Balance at beginning of prior year
Net Income Portion of Total Comprehensive Income for the Year Issue of Share Capital
Transfer from/to Retained Earnings
Decrease/increase in Reserves
Dividends
Preferred
Common
Other
Balance at End of Prior Year

## Changes in Equity for Current Year

Net Income Portion of Total Comprehensive Income for the Year
Issue of Share Capital
Transfer from/to Retained Earnings
Decrease/increase in Reserves
Dividends
Preferred
Common
Other
Balance at End of Current Year

| Base <br> 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| 1 | - | - | 31 |
| 9 | - | 31 | $(98)$ |
| 2 | - | - | - |
| 15 | - | - | - |
| 13 | - | - | - |
| 17 | - | - | - |
| 18 | - | - | - |
| 16 | - | - | - |
| 19 | - | 31 | $(67)$ |


| 31 | $(98)$ | 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| 31 | $(67)$ | $(36)$ |

## BC Poultry Captive Insurance Company

Appendix 2

## COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) AND <br> ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (\$000) 99TH PERCENTILE SCENARIO

Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of Income Taxes
Net Income
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss):
Items that may be reclassified subsequently to Net Income:
Available for Sale:
Change in Unrealized Gains and Losses:
-Loans.
-Bonds and Debentures
-Equities.
Reclassification to Earnings of Gains/(Losses)
Derivatives Designated as Cash Flow Hedges
Change in Unrealized Gains and Losses
Reclassification to Earnings of Gains/(Losses).
Foreign Currency Translation
-Change in Unrealized Gains and Losses
-Impact of Hedging
Other
Subtotal of items that may be reclassified subsequently to Net Income
Items that will not be reclassified subsequently to Net Income:
Revaluation Surplus
Share of Other Comprehensive Income of
Subsidiaries, Associates \& Joint Ventures
Remeasurements of Defined Benefit Plans
Other
Subtotal of items that will not be reclassified subsequently to Net Income
Total Other Comprehensive Income (Loss).
Total Comprehensive Income (Loss)

## ATTRIBUTABLE TO:

Non-controling Interests.
Equity Holders

## Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss),

net of Income Taxes
Accumulated Gains/(Losses) on:
Items that may be reclassified subsequently to Net Income:
Available for Sale:
-Loans....................................................................................... 42
-Bonds and Debentures.............................................................. 43
-Equities.................................................................................... 44
Derivatives Designated as Cash Flow Hedges......
Foreign Currency (net of hedging activities)
Other.
Subtotal of items that may be reclassified subsequently to Net Income
Items that will not be reclassified subsequently to Net Income:
Revaluation Surplus
Share of Other Comprehensive Income of
Subsidiaries, Associates \& Joint Ventures
Remeasurements of Defined Benefit Plans
Other.
Subtotal of items that will not be reclassified subsequently to Net Income Balance at end of year.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | (98) | 31 |
| - | - | - |
| 0 | (0) | (0) |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| 0 | (0) | (0) |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| 0 | (0) | (0) |
| 31 | (98) | 31 |
| - | - | - |
| 31 | (98) | 31 |



ACTUARIES Who Care ${ }^{\circledR}$ J. S. CHENG \& PARTNERS INC.

## MINIMUM CAPITAL TEST - 2015 FORMULATION (\$000) 99TH PERCENTILE SCENARIO

Capital Available
Qualifying category A common shares
Contributed Surplus
Retained Earnings
Accumulated net after-tax fair value gains(losses) due to changes in the company's own credit risk
Unrealized net after-tax fair value gains(losses) on own use properties at conversion to IFRS-cost model
Accumulated net after-tax revaluation losses in excess of gains on own use properties-revaluation model

## Subtotal :Retained earnings net of adjustments

Earthquake reserves
Less: Earthquake EPR not used as part of financial resources to cover exposure Nuclear reserves
General and contingency reserves
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)
Accumulated net after-tax fair value gains(losses) on cash flow hedges that are
Less not fair valued on the balance sheet
Accumulated net after-tax fair value gains(losses) due to changes in the company's own credit risk
Accumulated net after-tax unrealized gains on own-use properties-revaluation surplus
Accumulated net after-tax impact of shadow accounting
Subtotal :AOCI net of adjustments
Qualifying category B instruments -Non-cumulative perpetual preferred shares
Qualifying category B instruments -Other
Qualifying category C instruments-Preferred shares
Qualifying category C instruments-Subordinated debt
Accumulated amortization of category C instruments for captial adequacy purposes
Net qualifying category C instruments
Non-controlling interests
(specify)
Subtotal :capital available gross of deductions
Deductions:
interests in non-qualifying subsidiaries
Interests in associates
Interests in joint ventures with more than 10\% ownership
Loans considered as capital to non-qualifying subsidiaries
Loans considered as capital to associates
Loans considered as capital to joint ventures with more than $10 \%$ ownership
Receivables and recoverables from unregistered insurers not covered by acceptable collateral

Self-insured retentions, where OSFI requires collateral and no collateral has been received
DPAE other for A\&S business
Goodwill (net of eligible deferred tax liability)
Intangible assets including computer software (net of eligible deferred tax liability)
Deferred tax assets excluding those arising from temporary differences (net of eligible deferred tax liability)
Net defined benefit pension plan surplus asset, net of available refunds (net of eligible deferred tax liability)
Investments in own capital instruments not derecognized for accounting purposes
Reciprocal cross holdings in the capital of financial entities
Specify
Subtotal :total deductions from capital available
Total Capital Available

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | (67) | (36) |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | (67) | (36) |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,031 | 933 | 964 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,031 | 933 | 964 |

## Total Capital Available

Capital (Margin) Required at Target:
Insurance Risk: Premium liabilities
Unpaid claims
22
Catastrophes
Margin required for reinsurance ceded to unregistered Insurers
Subtotal:Insurance risk margin
Market Risk:
Interest rate risk
Foreign exchange risk
Equity risk
Real estate risk
Other market risk exposures
Subtotal:Market risk margin
Credit Risk:
Counterparty default risk for balance sheet assets
Counterparty default risk for off-balance sheet exposures
Counterparty default risk for unregistered reinsurance collateral and SIR Subtotal:Credit risk margin
Operational risk margin
Less Diversification credit
Total Margin Required at Target
Minimum Margin Required (line 59/1.5)
Total Minimum Margin Required
Excess Capital Available over Margin Required 89
(line 80 minus line 39)
Line 80 as a \% of line 39

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | 2019 | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,031 | 933 | 964 |
| 5 | 6 | 6 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 6 | 6 |
| 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 19 | 20 | 20 |
| 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 1,018 | 920 | 951 |
| 7931\% | 7177\% | 7415\% |

## CAPITAL REQUIRED FOR BALANCE SHEET ASSETS <br> (\$000) 99TH PERCENTILE SCENARIO

Cash
Investment Income due and accrued
Investments:
Long-Term Obligations including Term Deposits Bonds and Debentures
Loans (at amortized cost):
Government Grade
Loans rated A- and higher, and Residential Mortgages
Commercial Mortgages
Other
Subsidiaries,Associates \& Joint Ventures(not considered capital)
Adjustment to reflect difference between amortized cost
and Balance Sheet value of loans

## Preferred Shares

Common Shares
Investment Properties
Interests in Subsidiaries, Associates \& Joint Ventures
Other Investments

## Receivables:

| Government Grade | 50 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Facility Association" and the "P.R.R." | 51 |

Agents, Brokers, Policyholders, Associates, Joint Ventures, Nonqualifying Subsidiaries and Other Receivables

- Instalment Prem(not yet due)
- Outstanding less than 60 days
- Outstanding 60 days or more Insurers
- Registered Associated
- Registered Non-Associated
- Unregistered


## Recoverable from Reinsurers:

| - Registered Associated | - Unearned Premiums |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | - Unpaid Claims |
| - Registered Non-associated | - Unearned Premiums |
| - Unregistered | - Unpaid Claims |

Other Recoverables on Unpaid Claim including SIRs not deducted from capital

| Own Use Properties (valued using cost model) | 75 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Adjustment to reflect difference between cost model and Balance Sheet value of |  |
| Own Use Properties | 70 |
| Deferred Policy Acquisition Expenses | 76 |
| Premium Taxes | 77 |
| Commissions(A\&S) | 78 |

Deferred Tax Assets:
Eligible Deferred tax assets arising from temp diff realized through loss carybacks from inc. tax paid last three yrs
Other
Other Assets: Goodwill and Other Intangibles
Computer Software
Other Assets (net of lines 85 and 84) and Equipment
Total Credit Risk Margin for Balance Sheet Assets

| Factor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & 2018 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.50\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 45.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Note | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.70\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.70\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.50\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.50\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 20.00 \% \\ & 10.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \% \\ & \text { Note } \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 10.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Note |  |  |  |
| Note |  |  |  |
| Note |  |  |  |
| 10.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Note |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Insurance Risk <br> (\$000) <br> 99TH PERCENTILE SCENARIO DISCOUNTED UNPAID CLAIMS



| Factor | Base <br> 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | Distr. Selected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Forecast |  |  |  |  |
| $15.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $10.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
|  | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $20.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $10.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $10.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $15.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
|  | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $15.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $20.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $20.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $20.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $20.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $25.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $25.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $20.00 \%$ | - | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |
| $20.00 \%$ | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |  |
| $20.00 \%$ | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |  |
| $15.00 \%$ | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |  |
| $20.00 \%$ | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |  |
| Note | - | - | $0.00 \%$ |  |

## Capital Required Margin on Unpaid Claims

a) Net Unpaid Claims margin(excl.A\&S)
b) Net Unpaid Claims margin(A\&S)
c) Net Unpaid Claims margin(Total)

| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |



## Capital Required Margin on Discounted Premium Liabilities

d) Net premium liability margin(excl. A\&S)
e) Net premium liability margin(A\&S)
f) Premium liabilities margin (Total)

| 5 | 6 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 6 | 6 |

MCT (BAAT) MARKET RISK CAPITAL (MARGIN) REQUIREMENTS (\$000)

## 99TH PERCENTILE SCENARIO

## Total Interest Sensitive Assets

Modified or Effective Duration
Interest rate shock factor
Appendix 2

Dollar fair value change

Total Interest Sensitive Liabilities
Net unpaid claims and adjustment expenses
Net premium liabilities
Modified or Effective Duration: Net unpaid claims
Modified or Effective Duration:Premium liabilities
Interest rate shock factor
Dollar fair value change

Total interest rate risk margin

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Base } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 900 | 900 | 900 |
| 2 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 3 | 1.25\% | 1.25\% | 1.25\% |
| 4 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 5 | - | - | - |
| 6 | - | - | - |
| 7 | - | - | - |
| 7 | - | - | - |
| 8 |  |  |  |
| 9 | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
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## APPENDIX 3

$99^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Adverse Scenario with 12.5\% Defaults on Recovery

ACTUARIES Who Care ${ }^{\circledR}$ I. S. cheng \& partners inc.

## BC Poultry Captive Insurance Company

## ASSETS <br> (\$000) <br> 99th PERCENTILE SCENARIO WITH 12.5\% DEFAULTS ON RECOVERY




## LIABILITIES, CAPITAL, SURPLUS AND RESERVES <br> (\$000) <br> 99th PERCENTILE SCENARIO WITH 12.5\% DEFAULTS ON RECOVERY

LIABILITIES
Overdrafts
Borrowed Money and Accrued Interest
Payables:
Unaffiliated Agents and Brokers
Policyholders
Other Insurers
Subsidiaries, Associates \& Joint Ventures/Affiliates
Expenses due and accrued
Other Taxes due and accrued
Policyholder Dividends and Rating Adjustments
Encumbrances on Real Estate
Unearned Premiums
Unpaid Claims and Adjustment Expenses
Unearned Commissions
Ceded Deferred Premium Taxe
Premium Deficiency
Liabilities held for sale
Current Tax Liabilities
Deferred Tax Liabilities
Self-Insured Retention (SIR) portion of unpaid claims
Defined Benefit Pension Plan Obligation
Employment Benefits(not incl. amts on line 23 above)
Subordinated Debt
Preferred Shares - Debt
Provisions and Other Liabilities
Total Liabilities

EQUITY
Shares issued and paid
Common
Preferred
Contributed Surplus
(Specify)
Retained Earnings
Reserves
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income(Loss)
Total Policyholders/Shareholders' Equity
Non-controlling Interests
Total Equity

TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY


## UNDERWRITING OPERATIONS

(\$000)
99th PERCENTILE SCENARIO WITH 12.5\% DEFAULTS ON RECOVERY

## Premiums Written <br> Direct <br> Reinsurance Assumed <br> Reinsurance Ceded <br> Net Premiums Written <br> Decrease (Increase) in Net Unearned Premiums <br> Net Premiums Earned <br> Service Charges <br> Other <br> Total Underwriting Revenue <br> Gross Claims and Adjustment Expenses <br> Reinsurers' share of claims and adjustment expenses <br> Net Claims and Adjustment Expense <br> Acquisition Expenses : <br> Gross Commissions <br> Ceded Commissions <br> Taxes <br> Other <br> General Expenses <br> Total Claims and Expenses <br> Premium Deficiency Adjustments <br> Underwriting Income (Loss) <br> INVESTMENT OPERATIONS

## Income

Recognized Gains (Losses)
Expenses
Net Investment Income

## OTHER REVENUE AND EXPENSES

(net of Expenses of \$000.........)
Share of Net Income (Loss) of Subsidiaries, Associates and Joint Ventures
Gains (Losses) from fluctuations in Foreign Exchange Rates
Other Revenues
Finance costs
Other Expenses
Income (Loss) before Income Taxes and Extraordinary Items

## INCOME TAXES

Current
Future
Total Income Taxes

NET INCOME (LOSS) FOR THE YEAR
ATTRIBUTABLE TO:
Non-controlling interests
Equity Holders

## Loss Ratio

Expense Ratio
Combined Ratio
ROE

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 166 | 170 | 173 |
| 2 | - | - | - |
| 3 | 76 | 78 | 80 |
| 4 | 90 | 92 | 93 |
| 5 | - | - | - |
| 6 | 90 | 92 | 93 |
| 7 | - | - | - |
| 8 | - | (12) | - |
| 9 | 90 | 80 | 93 |
| 62 | - | 1,003 | - |
| 64 | - | 777 | - |
| 10 | - | 226 | - |
| 66 | - | - | - |
| 68 | - | - | - |
| 12 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 14 | - | - | - |
| 16 | 64 | 65 | 66 |
| 19 | 69 | 296 | 71 |
| 20 | - | - | - |
| 29 | 22 | (216) | 22 |
| 32 | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| 33 | - | - | - |
| 34 | - | - | - |
| 39 | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| 40 | - | - | - |
| 41 | - | - | - |
| 42 | - | - | - |
| 44 | - | - | - |
| 45 | - | - | - |
| 46 | - | - | - |
| 49 | 31 | (208) | 30 |
| 50 | - | - | - |
| 51 | - | - | - |
| 59 | - | - | - |
| 89 | 31 | (208) | 30 |
| 80 | - | - | - |
| 82 | 31 | (208) | 30 |
|  | 0.0\% | 246.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 76.1\% | 75.8\% | 76.4\% |
|  | 76.1\% | 321.9\% | 76.4\% |
|  | 5.9\% | -22.5\% | 3.6\% |

## STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN RETAINED EARNINGS

## (\$000)

99th PERCENTILE SCENARIO WITH 12.5\% DEFAULTS ON RECOVERY

## Balance at beginning of prior year

Net Income Portion of Total Comprehensive Income for the Year Issue of Share Capital
Transfer from/to Retained Earnings
Decrease/increase in Reserves
Dividends
Preferred
Common
Other
Balance at End of Prior Year

## Changes in Equity for Current Year

Net Income Portion of Total Comprehensive Income for the Year
Issue of Share Capital
Transfer from/to Retained Earnings
Decrease/increase in Reserves
Dividends
Preferred
Common
Other
Balance at End of Current Year

| Base <br> 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - | - | 31 |
| 9 | - | 31 | $(208)$ |
| 2 | - | - | - |
| 15 | - | - | - |
| 13 | - | - | - |
| 17 | - | - | - |
| 18 | - | - | - |
| 16 | - | - | - |
| 19 | - | 31 | $(178)$ |

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) AND
ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (\$000) 99th PERCENTILE SCENARIO WITH 12.5\% DEFAULTS ON RECOVERY

Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of Income Taxes
Net Income.
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss):
Items that may be reclassified subsequently to Net Income:
Available for Sale:
Change in Unrealized Gains and Losses:
-Loans.
-Bonds and Debentures.
-Equities.
Reclassification to Earnings of Gains/(Losses)
Derivatives Designated as Cash Flow Hedges
Change in Unrealized Gains and Losses.
Reclassification to Earnings of Gains/(Losses).
Foreign Currency Translation
-Change in Unrealized Gains and Losses
-Impact of Hedging
Other.
Subtotal of items that may be reclassified subsequently to Net Income
Items that will not be reclassified subsequently to Net Income:
Revaluation Surplus
Share of Other Comprehensive Income of
Subsidiaries, Associates \& Joint Ventures
Remeasurements of Defined Benefit Plans
Other
Subtotal of items that will not be reclassified subsequently to Net Income
Total Other Comprehensive Income (Loss).
Total Comprehensive Income (Loss)

## ATTRIBUTABLE TO:

Non-controling Interests
Equity Holders.

```
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss),
    net of Income Taxes
    Accumulated Gains/(Losses) on:
        Items that may be reclassified subsequently to Net Income:
            Available for Sale:
                        -Loans.................................................................................. }4
                            -Bonds and Debentures...................................................................
                            -Equities43
```

-Equities. ..... 44
Derivatives Designated as Cash Flow Hedges......

```46
```

Other. ..... 68
Subtotal of items that may be reclassified subsequently to Net Income ..... 69
Items that will not be reclassified subsequently to Net Income: ..... 71

```Share of Other Comprehensive Income of
```

Subsidiaries, Associates \& Joint Ventures ..... 51
Remeasurements of Defined Benefit Plan

```OtherSubtotal of items that will not be reclassified subsequently to Net IncomeBalance at end of year.
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss),
net of Income Taxes
Items that may be reclassified subsequently to Net Income:
Available for Sale:
```



```
42
```

| Base <br> 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| - | - | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| 0 | - | 0 |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
|  | 0 | 0 |

## MINIMUM CAPITAL TEST - 2015 FORMULATION (\$000) 99th PERCENTILE SCENARIO WITH 12.5\% DEFAULTS ON RECOVERY



Qualifying category A common shares
Retained Earnings
company's own credit risk conversion to IFRS-cost model
Accumulated net after-tax revaluation losses in excess of gains on own use properties-revaluation model
Subtotal :Retained earnings net of adjustments
Earthquake reserves
ere not used as part of financial resources to cover exposure

General and contingency reserves
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)
Accumulated net after-tax fair value gains(losses) on cash flow hedges that are notair valued on the balance shee company's own credit risk
Accumulated net after-tax unrealized gains on own-use properties-revaluation surplus

Subtotal :AOCI net of adjustments
Qualifying category B instruments -Non-cumulative perpetual preferred shares Qualifying category B instruments -Other

Qualifying category C instruments-Preferred shares
Accumulated amortization of category C instruments for captial adequacy purposes
Net qualifying category C instruments
lling interests
(specify)
interests in non-qualifying subsidiaries
interests in associates
loans in joint ventures wit more than 10\% owbil

Loans considered as capital to associates
Loans considered as capital to joint ventures with more than $10 \%$ ownership collateral

Self-insured retentions, where OSFI requires collateral and no collateral has been received
DPAE other for A\&S business
(net of eligble defered tax liability)
Deferred tax assets excluding those arising from temporary differences (net of eligible deferred tax liability)
deferred tax liability)
Investments in own capital instruments not derecognized for accounting purposes
Specify
Subtotal :total deductions from capital available
Total Capital Available

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | (178) | (148) |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | (178) | (148) |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,031 | 822 | 852 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,031 | 822 | 852 |


| MINIMUM CAPITAL TEST - 2015 FORMULATION (CONT'D) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (\$000) |  |  |  |  |
| 99th PERCENTILE SCENARIO WITH 12.5\% DEFAULTS ON RECOVERY |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | 2019 | 2019 |
| Total Capital Available | 80 | 1,031 | 822 | 852 |
| Capital (Margin) Required at Target: |  |  |  |  |
| Insurance Risk: Premium liabilities | 22 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Unpaid claims | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Catastrophes | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Margin required for reinsurance ceded to unregistered Insurers | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal:Insurance risk margin | 51 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Market Risk: Interest rate risk | 34 | 11 | 9 | 9 |
| Foreign exchange risk | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Equity risk | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Real estate risk | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other market risk exposures | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal:Market risk margin | 52 | 11 | 9 | 9 |
| Credit Risk: Counterparty default risk for balance sheet assets | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Counterparty default risk for off-balance sheet exposures | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Counterparty default risk for unregistered reinsurance collateral and SIR | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal:Credit risk margin | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operational risk margin | 32 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Less Diversification credit | 70 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Margin Required at Target | 59 | 19 | 18 | 18 |
| Minimum Margin Required (line 59/1.5) | 69 | 13 | 12 | 12 |
| Total Minimum Margin Required | 39 | 13 | 12 | 12 |
| Excess Capital Available over Margin Required (line 80 minus line 39) | 89 | 1,018 | 810 | 840 |
| Line 80 as a \% of line 39 | 90 | 7931\% | 6850\% | 7100\% |

## (\$000)

 99th PERCENTILE SCENARIO WITH 12.5\% DEFAULTS ON RECOVERY

Long-Term Obligations including Term Deposits Bonds and Debentures

Government Grade

Commercial Mortgages

Subsidiaries,Associates \& Joint Ventures(not considered capital)
Adjustment to reflect difference between amortized cost and Balance Sheet value of loans

## Preferred Shares

## Investment Properties

Interests in Subsidiaries, Associates \& Joint Ventures

## Receivables:

Government Grade

Agents, Brokers, Policyholders, Associates, Joint Ventures, Nonqualifying Subsidiaries and Other Receivables:

- Outstanding 60 days or more Insurers


## Recoverable from Reinsurers:

Total Credit Risk Margin for Balance Sheet Assets

Insurance Risk
(\$000)
99th PERCENTILE SCENARIO WITH 12.5\% DEFAULTS ON RECOVERY


## Capital Required Margin on Unpaid Claims

a) Net Unpaid Claims margin(excl.A\&S)
b) Net Unpaid Claims margin(A\&S)
c) Net Unpaid Claims margin(Total)

| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |


| DISCOUNTED PREMIUM LIABILITIES |  | Factor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ | 2018 | 2019 | Distr. Selected Forecast |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property - personal............................... | 03 | 20.00\% | 27 | 28 | 28 | 0.00\% |
| - commercial. | 07 | 20.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Property - total. | 09 |  | 27 | 28 | 28 | 0.00\% |
| Aircraft..................................................... | 10 | 25.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Automobile - liability.................................. | 19 | 15.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| - personal accident.................. | 20 | 15.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| - other. | 21 | 20.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Automobile - total.................................... | 29 |  | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Boiler and Machinery................................ | 32 | 20.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Credit. | 34 | 25.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Credit Protection........................................ | 35 | 25.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Fidelity................................................... | 36 | 25.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Hail. | 38 | 25.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Legal Expense ........................................ | 40 | 30.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Liability.................................................... | 59 | 30.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Mortgage................................................. | 62 | 25.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Other Approved Products............................ | 63 | 25.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Surety...................................................... | 64 | 25.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Title.. | 66 | 20.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Marine...................................................... | 68 | 25.00\% | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| Accident and Sickness. | 70 | Note | - | - | - | 0.00\% |
| TOTAL.................................................... | 89 |  | 27 | 28 | 28 | 0.00\% |

## Capital Required Margin on Discounted Premium Liabilities

d) Net premium liability margin(excl. A\&S)
e) Net premium liability margin(A\&S)
f) Premium liabilities margin (Total)

| 5 | 6 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 6 | 6 |

MCT (BAAT) MARKET RISK CAPITAL (MARGIN) REQUIREMENTS (\$000)
99th PERCENTILE SCENARIO WITH 12.5\% DEFAULTS ON RECOVERY

## Total Interest Sensitive Assets

Modified or Effective Duration
Interest rate shock factor
Appendix 3

Dollar fair value change

Total Interest Sensitive Liabilities
Net unpaid claims and adjustment expenses
Net premium liabilities
Modified or Effective Duration: Net unpaid claims
Modified or Effective Duration:Premium liabilities
Interest rate shock factor
Dollar fair value change

Total interest rate risk margin

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 900 | 750 | 750 |
| 2 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 3 | 1.25\% | 1.25\% | 1.25\% |
| 4 | 11 | 9 | 9 |
| 5 | - | - | - |
| 6 | - | - | - |
| 7 | - | - | - |
| 7 | - | - | - |
| 8 |  |  |  |
| 9 | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 11 | 9 | 9 |
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## APPENDICES A \& A1 \& A2

A. Premiums Requirement for 2018, 2019 and 2020 by Sector

A1. C\&D Cost Simulation Results for 2018, 2019 and 2020

A2. C\&D Cost Simulation Results for 2018: Reduced Layers C\&D Cost to $\$ 0.81$ per Bird

|  | 2018 | 2018 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No Reinsurance | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { Agg. Stop } \\ \text { Loss } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 250,000 \\ \text { Agg. Stop } \\ \text { Loss } \end{gathered}$ |
| Expected losses (Captive) | 49,925 | 19,577 | 28,723 |
| External adjuster expenses | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Cost of Stop loss | - | 75,870 | 53,004 |
| Operating expenses | 63,500 | 63,500 | 63,500 |
| Premium Tax (4\%) | 4,747 | 6,644 | 6,072 |
| 2018 Premium | 118,671 | 166,090 | 151,799 |
| Savings versus the next lower retention Extra risk assumed versus next lower retention Payback period for one full retention (in years) |  |  | 14,291 |
|  |  |  | 150,000 |
|  |  |  | 10.5 |


|  | Broilers | Breeders | Layers | Turkeys | Total |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Average Losses (\$) | 12,115 | 6,344 | 22,475 | 8,991 | 49,925 |
| $\%$ of Average Losses | $24.3 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $45.0 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |


| 2018 Premiums | Broilers | Breeders | Layers | Turkeys | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Insurance | 28,877 | 15,122 | 53,571 | 21,430 | 119,000 |
| $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ Stop Loss | $\mathbf{4 0 , 3 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 1 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 , 7 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 , 9 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 6 , 0 9 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ Stop Loss | 36,884 | 19,316 | 68,427 | $\mathbf{2 7 , 3 7 2}$ | 152,000 |


| 2018 Premiums | Broilers | Breeders | Layers | Turkeys | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 100,000$ Stop Loss | 40,303 | 21,106 | 74,770 | 29,910 | 166,090 |
| Rate Per 1,000 Birds | 0.38 | 27.23 | 23.98 | 11.92 |  |
| 2016 Production | $105,262,850$ | 775,000 | $3,118,319$ | $2,510,243$ | $111,666,412$ |


|  | 2019 | 2019 | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No <br> Reinsurance | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 100,000 \\ \text { Agg. Stop } \\ \text { Loss } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 250,000 \\ \text { Agg. Stop } \\ \text { Loss } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Expected losses (Captive) | 50,923 | 19,769 | 29,117 |
| External adjuster expenses | 510 | 510 | 510 |
| Cost of Stop loss |  | 77,885 | 54,515 |
| Operating expenses | 64,770 | 64,770 | 64,770 |
| Premium Tax (4\%) | 4,842 | 6,789 | 6,205 |
| 2018 Premium | 121,045 | 169,723 | 155,117 |
| Savings versus the next lower retention Extra risk assumed versus next lower retention Payback period for one full retention (in years) |  |  | 14,606 |
|  |  |  | 150,000 |
|  |  |  | 10.3 |


|  | Broilers | Breeders | Layers | Turkeys | Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Average Losses (\$) | 12,357 | 6,471 | 22,925 | 9,170 | 50,923 |
| $\%$ of Average Losses | $24.3 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $45.0 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |


| 2019 Premiums | Broilers | Breeders | Layers | Turkeys | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Insurance | 29,605 | 15,503 | 54,922 | 21,970 | 122,000 |
| $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ Stop Loss | $\mathbf{4 1 , 1 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 5 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 , 4 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 5 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9 , 7 3 0}$ |
| $\$ 250,000$ Stop Loss | 37,855 | 19,824 | 70,228 | $\mathbf{2 8 , 0 9 3}$ | 156,000 |


| 2019 Premiums | Broilers | Breeders | Layers | Turkeys | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 100,000$ Stop Loss | 41,187 | 21,569 | 76,409 | 30,565 | 169,730 |


|  | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No Reinsurance | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { Agg. Stop } \\ \text { Loss } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 250,000 \\ \text { Agg. Stop } \\ \text { Loss } \end{gathered}$ |
| Expected losses (Captive) | 51,941 | 19,961 | 29,513 |
| External adjuster expenses | 520 | 520 | 520 |
| Cost of Stop loss | - | 79,952 | 56,070 |
| Operating expenses | 66,065 | 66,065 | 66,065 |
| Premium Tax (4\%) | 4,939 | 6,937 | 6,340 |
| 2018 Premium | 123,466 | 173,436 | 158,510 |
| Savings versus the next lower retention Extra risk assumed versus next lower retention Payback period for one full retention (in years) |  |  | 14,926 |
|  |  |  | 150,000 |
|  |  |  | 10.0 |


|  | Broilers | Breeders | Layers | Turkeys | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Losses $(\$)$ | 12,604 | 6,601 | 23,383 | 9,354 | 51,941 |
| $\%$ of Average Losses | $24.3 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $45.0 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |


| 2020 Premiums | Broilers | Breeders | Layers | Turkeys | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Insurance | 30,090 | 15,758 | 55,822 | 22,330 | 124,000 |
| $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ Stop Loss | $\mathbf{4 2 , 0 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 0 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 , 0 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 , 2 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 3 , 4 4 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ Stop Loss | 38,583 | 20,205 | 71,579 | $\mathbf{2 8 , 6 3 3}$ | 159,000 |


| 2020 Premiums | Broilers | Breeders | Layers | Turkeys | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 100,000$ Stop Loss | 42,087 | 22,040 | 78,079 | 31,233 | 173,440 |

British Columbia Poultry Association
2020 Simulation Results - Dirct and Indirect Losses by Sector
Annual Losses by Percentile:


|  | 2018 | 2018 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No Reinsurance | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { Agg. Stop } \\ \text { Loss } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 250,000 \\ \text { Agg. Stop } \\ \text { Loss } \end{gathered}$ |
| Expected losses (Captive) | 34,192 | 16,692 | 28,723 |
| External adjuster expenses | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Cost of Stop loss | - | 43,750 | 27,102 |
| Operating expenses | 63,500 | 63,500 | 63,500 |
| Premium Tax (4\%) | 4,091 | 5,185 | 4,993 |
| 2018 Premium | 102,283 | 129,627 | 124,817 |
| Savings versus the next lower retention Extra risk assumed versus next lower retention Payback period for one full retention (in years) |  |  | 4,810 |
|  |  |  | 150,000 |
|  |  |  | 31.2 |


|  | Broilers | Breeders | Layers* | Turkeys | Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Average Losses (\$) | 12,115 | 6,344 | 6,743 | 8,991 | 34,192 |
| $\%$ of Average Losses | $35.4 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |


| 2018 Premiums | Broilers | Breeders | Layers | Turkeys | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Insurance | 36,494 | 19,112 | 20,311 | 27,083 | 103,000 |
| $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ Stop Loss | $\mathbf{4 5 , 9 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 0 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 5 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 , 0 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 9 , 6 3 0}$ |
| $\$ 250,000$ Stop Loss | 44,289 | 23,194 | 24,649 | 32,868 | 125,000 |


| 2018 Premiums | Broilers | Breeders | Layers | Turkeys | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 100,000$ Stop Loss | 45,930 | 24,053 | 25,562 | 34,085 | 129,630 |
| Rate Per 1,000 Birds | 0.44 | 31.04 | 8.20 | 13.58 |  |
| 2016 Production | $105,262,850$ | 775,000 | $3,118,319$ | $2,510,243$ | $111,666,412$ |

* $\$ 1.75$ per bird would be compensated by the egg industry, not the Captive
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## APPENDIX B

Simulation Model
Appendix B
Page 1 of 4
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## APPENDIX C

Probability of a Sector Being the Index Farm

## British Columbia Poultry Association

Appendix C
Probability of a Sector being the Index Farm
Page 1 of 1

| Type |  |  |  | Credibility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 <br> Producers | $2014$ <br> Number of IP | Exposure | Expected <br> Number of Events | Normalized <br> Number of Events | Occupied <br> Time | Credibility | Weighted <br> Number of <br> Events | Normalized <br> Number of Events | Probability by Sector | Selected <br> Probability |
| [A] | [B] | [C] | [D] | [E] | [F] | [G] | [H] | [1] | [J] | [K] | [L] |
| B | 329 | 0 | 188 | 0.0536 | 0.0000 | 0.5714 | 0.7512 | 0.0133 | 0.0177 | 0.1476 | 0.1500 |
| H | 51 | 2 | 45 | 0.0128 | 0.0600 | 0.8788 | 0.2958 | 0.0267 | 0.0355 | 0.2960 | 0.3000 |
| L | 131 | 0 | 127 | 0.0363 | 0.0000 | 0.9722 | 0.4740 | 0.0191 | 0.0254 | 0.2115 | 0.2100 |
| T | 58 | 2 | 51 | 0.0146 | 0.0600 | 0.8824 | 0.3154 | 0.0289 | 0.0384 | 0.3200 | 0.3200 |
| D | 14 | 0 | 9 | 0.0027 | 0.0000 | 0.6667 | 0.1550 | 0.0022 | 0.0030 | 0.0249 | 0.0200 |
| Total | 583 | 4 | 421 | 0.1200 | 0.1200 |  |  | 0.0904 | 0.1200 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |

Note:
[A\} Sector name: B=Broiler, H=Breeder, L=layer, T=Turkey, D=Duck
[B] Number of producers for each sector provided by BC Poultry
[C] Number of infected farms in 2014 HPAI experience
$[\mathrm{D}] \quad[\mathrm{B}] \times[\mathrm{G}]$
[E] $\quad[E]_{\text {Total }} \times[D]_{\text {sector }} /[D]_{\text {Total }}$
[F] $[C]_{\text {Sector }} /[\mathrm{C}]_{\text {Total }} \times[\mathrm{G}]_{\text {Total }}$
[G] 1 - (Idle time +7 days of high temperature period)/Total Production Time
[H] $\operatorname{SQRT}([B] /$ Total[ $[B])$
[I] $[\mathrm{H}] \times[\mathrm{F}]+(1-[\mathrm{H}]) \times[\mathrm{E}]$
$[\mathrm{J}] \quad[\mathrm{I}]_{\text {sector }} /[\mathrm{Il}]_{\text {Total }} \times[\mathrm{F}]_{\text {Total }}$
[K] $[\mathrm{J}]_{\text {sector }} /[\mathrm{J}]_{\text {Total }}$
[L] Selected based on [K]

## APPENDIX D

Highly Pathogenic Daily Infection Trees
Appendix D
Page 1


## APPENDIX E

Distribution of Farms by Region and by Sector for Direct Contact

## British Columbia Poultry Association

## Appendix E

Analysis for Cleaning \& Disinfection Expense Insurance

## Selected Distribution of Farms by Region and by Sector for Direct Contact

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Average Daily Contact Rate ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broilers | Breeders | Layers | Turkeys | Ducks |  |
| Catching Crews | 0.0269 | 0.0087 | 0.0153 | 0.0379 | 0.1140 |  |
| Vaccination Crews | 0.0002 | 0.0066 | 0.0031 | 0.0009 | 0.0000 |  |
| Feed Representatives | 0.0092 | 0.0656 | 0.0111 | 0.0060 | 0.0022 |  |
| Cleaning crews | 0.0085 | 0.0038 | 0.0030 | 0.0018 | 0.0142 |  |
| Manure hauler | 0.0110 | 0.0063 | 0.0099 | 0.0135 | 0.0142 |  |
| Employees | 0.4577 | 0.5450 | 1.6207 | 0.3614 | 0.4000 |  |
| Veterinarians | 0.0020 | 0.0021 | 0.0003 | 0.0038 | 0.0014 |  |
| Unsanctioned product movers | 0.0012 | 0.0229 | 0.0025 | 0.0000 | 0.0142 |  |
| Total Contacts | 0.5167 | 0.6610 | 1.6659 | 0.4253 | 0.5602 |  |
| Total Excluding Employees | 0.0590 | 0.1160 | 0.0452 | 0.0639 | 0.1602 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contact Rate using Broiler as Base | $100 \%$ | $197 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $108 \%$ | $272 \%$ |  |
| (2) | $100 \%$ | $200 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $250 \%$ |  |

## Distribution of Farm by Region and by Sector:

| \% of Sector in Each Region | Broilers | Breeders | Layers | Turkeys | Ducks | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FV | $55.4 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| VI | $69.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $25.9 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| INT | $41.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $44.1 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Selected Distribution for Simulation:

| \% of Sector in Each Region | Broilers | Breeders | Layers | Turkeys | Ducks | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FV | $49.8 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| VI | $73.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| INT | $46.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $37.2 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Note:
(1) Average daily contact rate for each sector (excluding pullets) from NAADSM

Contact rate for duck is not used as there was no survey information available
(2) Selected contact rate for each sector is used to determine the likelihood of infection by external contacts
(3) Breeders distribution is determined as $2 \mathrm{H} /(\mathrm{B}+2 \mathrm{H}+0.75 \mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{T}+2.5 \mathrm{D})$

## APPENDIX F

BC Poultry Industry Data (2010, 2012, 2016)
BC Poultry Industry - 2016
Annual Production by Region

| Board | Lower Mainland | Interior | Vancouver <br> Island | Total | Column1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BCCMB (kgs live) | $198,333,900$ | $25,076,700$ | $4,559,400$ | $227,970,000$ |  |
| - Percent of Production | $87.00 \%$ | $11.00 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ |  |  |
| BCTMB (kgs live) | $24,077,646$ | 585,237 | 744,828 | $25,407,711$ |  |
| - Percent of Production | $94.77 \%$ | $2.30 \%$ | $2.93 \%$ |  |  |
| BCEMB (dozen eggs) | $68,209,923$ | $7,475,176$ | $4,744,911$ | $80,430,010$ |  |
| - Percent of Production | $84.81 \%$ | $9.29 \%$ | $5.90 \%$ |  |  |
| BCBHEC (total eggs) | $105,359,556$ | 0 | 0 | $105,359,556$ |  |
| - Percent of Production | $100.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |  |  |

BC Poultry Industry
Registered Producers by Region

| Board | Lower Mainland | Interior | Vancouver <br> Island | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| BCCMB (\# of producers) | 266 | 49 | 14 | 329 |
| - Percent of Producers | $80.85 \%$ | $14.89 \%$ | $4.26 \%$ | 9 |
| BCTMB \# of producers) | 44 | 5 | $15.52 \%$ | 58 |
| - Percent of Producers | $75.86 \%$ | $8.62 \%$ | 8 | 131 |
| BCEMB (\# of producers) | 107 | 16 | $6.11 \%$ |  |
| - Percent of Producers | $81.68 \%$ | $12.21 \%$ | 0 | 51 |
| BCBHEC (\# of producers) | 51 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |  |
| - Percent of Production | $100.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |  | $\mathbf{5 6 9}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{4 6 8}$ |  |  |  |

BC Poultry Industry 2016
Number of Birds by Region

|  |  |  |  |  | 2016 | 2012 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Board | Lower Mainland | Interior | Vancouver Island | Total | Avg birds/cycle | Avg birds/cycle | Avg birds/cycle |
| BCCMB (\# of birds) | 94,084,707 | 10,299,482 | 878,661 | 105,262,850 | 16,194,285 | 14,908,864 | 14,320,077 |
| - Percent of Production | 89.38\% | 9.78\% | 0.83\% |  | 77\% | 78\% | 75\% |
| BCTMB (\# of birds) | 2,353,035 | 77,631 | 79,577 | 2,510,243 | 836,748 | 967,059 | 1,201,756 |
| - Percent of Production | 93.74\% | 3.09\% | 3.17\% |  | 4\% | 5\% | 6\% |
| BCEMB (\# of birds) | 2,606,327 | 346,520 | 165,472 | 3,118,319 | 3,118,319 | 2,569,801 | 2,621,139 |
| - Percent of Production | 83.58\% | 11.11\% | 5.31\% |  | 15\% | 13\% | 14\% |
| BCBHEC (\# of birds) | 775,000 | 0 | 0 | 775,000 | 775,000 | 750,000 | 889,100 |
| - Percent of Production | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |  | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | 20,924,351 | 19,195,724 | 19,032,072 |

## APPENDIX G

## E-mail Correspondence

From: Harvey [mailto:harveysasaki@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, J anuary 17, 2018 6:01 PM
To: 'J SCP'
Subject: RE: BC Poultry
Joe:
This looks good and consistent with the directions and follow-up today. Please proceed as noted.
Thanks

Harvey

From: JSCP [mailto:jscp@jscp.com]
Sent: January 17, 2018 12:47 PM
To: 'Harvey Sasaki'
Subject: RE: BC Poultry
Harvey,
I want to catch your attention before you depart for vacation tomorrow. A more formal statement of work will follow in a few days.

After reading the directions you outlined on January 15, 2018, and your discussion with Benny Chan, we agree to make the following changes to our model:

1) 2014 IP

From 8 to 4 with 2 breeders and 2 turkeys. This is used to derive the probability of being an index farm.
2) Duration of production at more than $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Change to $1^{\text {st }}$ week for all sectors. This will affect the period of potential exposure in various calculations-in particular, the probability of being an index farm.
3) Definition of farm crew

Other than employees, most sectors have similar average daily contact except breeders which appear to be twice as high as other sectors. In our spreading model (page 86 of our November 21, 2017 report), we assumed equal probability of infection from one farm to the next. As breeders have twice as much external contact, we should double their probability of being one of the 15 farms.
4) Probability of Infection (PI) table

The PI from layer to layer will change from $50 \%$ to $30 \%$.
The PI from breeder to layer will change from $50 \%$ to $30 \%$.
The PI from layer to breeder will change from $50 \%$ to $30 \%$.
5) Dollar value for C and D

We would run the model using: a) $\$ 2.50 /$ bird for layers, and b) $\$ 0.75 /$ bird for layers.
6) Number of farms

We would use the following table provided by you:

|  | Lower Mainland | Interior | Vancouver Island | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Broiler | 266 | 49 | 14 | 329 |
| Breeder | 51 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| Layer | 107 | 16 | 8 | 131 |
| Turkey | 44 | 5 | 9 | 58 |
| Total | 468 | 70 | 31 | 569 |

The deliverables will include: an expanded assumption section that explains the 6 revised assumptions in more detail; and an executive summary that shows i) expected losses by sector, ii) expected premium by sector, and iii) annual losses by percentile. The target completion date of this project is January 31, 2018.

Please approve the above work plan.

Best regards,
Joe
J. S. CHENG \& PARTNERS INC.

JSCP
ACTUARIES Who Care ${ }^{\text {tM }}$

1500 Don Mills Road, Suite 706, Toronto ON
T416.510.8360 F416.510.8359 www.jscp.com
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BC Poultry Infected Premises Cleaning and Disinfection Cost Recovery Fund Assumptions to Guide Revised Actuarial Assessment

6 key issues on the November 21, 2017 JSCP Feasibility Study to Provide Cleaning and Disinfection Expense Insurance arising from the January 2, 2018 Joint Board Chairs/MISC meeting:

1. 2014 IP Numbers
2. Duration of production $>30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
3. Definition of Farm Crew
4. Probability of Infection (PI) Table (pg 17 of report)
5. Dollar value for C\&D
6. Number of farms

Based on input received from the poultry boards, the description of the issues and agreed upon approach for consideration by JSCP is outlined as follows.

## 1. 2014 IP Numbers:

Description: The number of IPs has a bearing on the PI, so we need to confirm what we view from 2014 to inform the actuarial analysis.

- There were 11 commercial IPs identified in the outbreak
$\circ \quad 7$ breeder farms
$\circ$
3 turkey farms
0
1 layer farm
- The CFIA has published their Outbreak Investigation Report on Avian Influenza in British Columbia 2014 which includes a Summary of Findings and Working Hypotheses on Source and Transmission of NAI. http://www.inspection.gc.ca/animals/terrestrial-animals/diseases/reportable/ai/disease-incidents/avian-influenza-in-british-columbia-2014/eng/1475593889073/1506003977167?chap=8\#s29c8
- The CFIA report at the BC Avian Influenza Poultry Industry Workshop in October 2016 also provides an analysis of field, molecular and air dispersion data.
- Both reports identify at least four independent primary introductions of HPAI virus into commercial farms during the outbreak (IP1, IP2, IP5 and IP9).

Action Required: Modelling the Probabilities of a sector being an index farm (Appendix C):

- The Boards confirmed the following numbers to be used for the 2014 HPAI experience:
- 11 IPs in total
- 4 independent primary introductions
- 2 breeders
- 2 turkeys
- 7 indirect introductions
- 5 breeders
- 1 layer
- 1 turkey

2. Duration of production at $>\mathbf{3 0}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :

Description: The amount of idle time during the production cycle affects the risk of infection, particularly if there is a difference between sectors.

- All four sectors recognize that only during the first week of production (brooding) is barn temperature at or above $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Action Required: Modelling the Probabilities of a sector being an index farm (Appendix C):

- The Boards confirmed that only the first week of production would be used for all sectors.
- The average downtime for layers should be increased to 2 weeks due to the increase in specialty production.


## 3. Definition of Farm Crew:

Description: The industry had input and influenced the parameters used in the North American Animal Disease Spread Model (NAADSM) which included a list of high risk contacts.

- JSCP confirmed that their use of the term "farm crews" is the same as those considered high risk contacts in the NAADSM.
- JSCP indicated that their model attempted to simplify the farm crew contacts by using only 5 sectors (breeders, broilers, layers, turkeys and ducks) whereas the NAADSM used contact rates for 10 sectors.
- JSCP did not see a need to adjust their daily contact rate of $50 \%$ for all sectors.

Action Required: Model to calculate direct and indirect losses (Appendix B)

- The four boards reviewed the NAADSM parameters paper with particular focus on the list of high risk contacts on page 4 and the resulting tables of contact rates on pages $9-11$ and confirm:
- The list of high risk contacts (page 4) is appropriate without having to survey (insufficient time to conduct) each sector to reaffirm or revise the contact rates (a point to be considered for future assessments).
- The following comments are provided for JSCP consideration:
- Vaccination for broiler farms is done in ovo at the hatchery or by the farmer via the watering system, as such it is there is no vaccination crews contact on broiler operations.
- Based on this list and the contact rates in Table 1, this represents those contacts that actually enter the restricted area of the barn. This list appears appropriate.
- For the egg sector - The annual visits by contact type by sector (Table 1) - Based on the NAADSM definition for contact rates, these are specifically contacts where an individual or crew would visit multiple contacts in one day (Page 87 of the NAADSM user manual attached).

- Catching Crews: For Layers, the catching crews used for pullet transfer and fowl removal are used only in the layer industry and visit only one farm per day for approximately $75 \%$ of our farms. The annual number of visits makes sense for our average, however when we factor in the $\%$ of these that would be considered high risk contact, that would then be reduced to 1.4 visits annually.
- Vaccination Crews: This is usually completed by the vaccination crew at pullet transfer or by the producer. There are some producers who still have a vaccination crew come in that may attend other farms that day but is likely to be less than $10 \%$ of the industry. If each farm has 2 flocks and $10 \%$ are vaccinated by a crew that could be considered a high risk contact, that number should be closer to 0.2 annually.
- Employees: Most employees on layer farms only work on one farm, even part time employees do not have contact with other layer farms. I am unsure what the actual average number of annual visits would be however considering this trend it should be reduced to a number more in-line with the breeder or broiler industry, around 190.


## 4. Probability of Infection Table

Description: Questions were raised regarding the characterization of the IPs for the 2014 outbreak as direct versus indirect.

Action Required: The PI table on page 17 will be reviewed by JSCP particularly in reference to the percentages attributed to breeders, layers and turkeys.

- Breeders, Broilers and Turkeys have no concerns with the table.
- Layers believe that the assessment should look at both the probability of infection from one poultry type to another but also the frequency of high risk contacts.
- Layers would like clarity on Point \#5 on page 21 - for every potential direct contact, they use a random draw approach to determine whether the farm crew has worked on the infected premise in the morning or afternoon. The current wording infers that every farm has a crew on it every day that goes to a subsequent premise. This is simply not the case and this is clearly outlined in the Contract Rates table on pages 9-11 of the Parameterization document. As well, per point 3 above, the layer numbers are inflated due to an incorrect employees number (should be closer to 200). The actual number should be around $60 \%$ probability which reduces the spread by $40 \%$.
- Layers also suggested that when looking at direct high risk contacts, there should be some consideration taken into the likelihood of the contact occurring between poultry sectors, for example, the crew that is used for Fowl Removal on a layer farm is not used for fowl removal for any other poultry types. Hatchery crews for the layer industry will not be used on broiler, turkey or breeder farms, etc.
- Layers expect that once the probability of infection from direct contact is updated, this will affect the indirect loss loadings in assumption 8.

5. Dollar value for C\&D

Description: The 2014 outbreak AgriRecovery data was used to establish the values currently used in the actuarial analysis, with the exception that the layer value was reduced from the $\$ 4.80$ per bird value used for layers.

- The analysis currently uses:
- $\$ 1.00$ per bird for broilers
- $\quad \$ 2.00$ per bird for breeders
- $\quad \$ 2.50$ per bird for layers
- $\$ 2.65$ weighted average for turkeys (broilers, hens and toms)
- CEIRA is only using $\$ 1.75$ per bird for layers and $\$ 1.50$ per bird for layer pullets, however, CEIRA is providing lump sum payments for BHT removal which is included in the .


## Action Required:

- Breeders, broilers and turkeys confirm the above dollar value for $\mathrm{C} \& \mathrm{D}$.
- Layers would like to see the analysis done at both $\$ 2.50$ and $\$ 0.75$ for layers.

6. Number of Farms

Description: Appendix C of the JSCP actuarial assessment uses the 2016 number of producers as part of the Probability of a Sector being an Index Farm. The numbers used by JSCP were from the attached spreadsheet which was compiled with input from each board.

- It was identified that the number of turkey producers does not equal the number of independent farm sites, 65 versus 58 which represents a $>10 \%$ decrease in potential infected premises.
- Using the BC Premise ID database, there are:
- 667 poultry premises listed in total

|  | Active Premises 7 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Broiler | 393 |
| Hatching Egg | 58 |
| Layer | 155 |
| Turkey | 61 |
|  | 667 |

- 41 have multiple production types
- The premises with more than one production type:

|  | Broiler | Hatching Egg | Layer | Turkey |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Broiler |  | 7 | 20 | 7 |
| Hatching Egg | 7 |  | 1 | 1 |
| Layer | 20 | 1 |  | 5 |
| Turkey | 7 | 1 | 5 |  |

- Notwithstanding the above tables, the Turkey Marketing Board confirmed 58 site registered in the province broken down by region as follows:
- 44 - Lower Mainland
- 5 - Interior
- 9 - Vancouver Island
- Notwithstanding the BC Chicken Marketing Board confirmed 325 broiler producers registered in BC (Source: Chicken Farmers of Canada Data Booklet 2017, page 3).

Action Required: It is important that the analysis uses the same numbers for each board. While of value, the number of farms in the Premises ID database exceeds the number of registered producers reported by the Boards in the 2017-04-26 spreadsheet on 2016 Registered Poultry Production (data supplied to me by each board). We need to be clear and agree upon the production numbers to be used in directing the revisions for the Actuarial Assessment.

